



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_49998
Title of the Manuscript:	Spermatozoa characteristics, serum metabolites and testicular oxidative stress traits in guinea pigs (<i>Cavia porcellus</i>) fed on <i>Zanthoxylum leprieurii</i> fruit
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article has provided new information on the beneficial effects of <i>Zanthoxylum leprieurii</i> fruit on semen characteristics. However the manuscript needs to be re-written. The expression of the findings has not been presented appropriately. The manuscript require major revisions in introduction and other chapter. Few questions needs to be answered in the manuscript itself.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What was the palatability of the fruit feeding as in general 2. What was the basis of selecting the dose of treatment. <p>What was the ambient temperature at the time of experiment.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Paper require major corrections Few corrections have been done in the manuscript with blue font.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>May be accepted for publication after revision</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahendra Singh
Department, University & Country	India