

SDI Review Form 1.6

	Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
	Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_48757
	Title of the Manuscript:	Qualitative and quantitative phytochemical screening, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities of acetone extract of
	Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
	It is very well-marked that this study is acceptable with minor revision and useful for publish in this journal. The discussion section can be developed with literature data.	
	Note: Please, I want to see manuscript again after the corrections.	
	In addition to, please add some pape and you can use them in manuscript.	
	Please see below for papers.	
	[3] Sevindik M. Investigation of Antioxidant/Oxidant Status and Antimicrobial Activities of Lentinus tigrinus. Advances in pharmacological sciences. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/1718025	
	[6] Sevindik M. Investigation of oxidant and antioxidant status of edible mushroom Clavariadelphus truncatus. The Journal of Fungus. 2018; 9(2): 165-168	
	[10] Sevindik M, Akgul H, Pehlivan M, Selamoglu Z. Determination of therapeutic potential of Mentha longifolia ssp. longifolia. Fresen Environ Bull. 2017; 26: 4757-4763.	
Optional/General comments		

of Brassica juncea L. leaf

er, correct the manuscript is mandatory that authors

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mustafa Sevindik
Department, University & Country	Akdeniz University, Turkey

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her