SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_49985
Title of the Manuscript:	Toxicity Impact on Bioaccumulation of Potentially Toxic Elements in African Giant Land Snail (Archachatina margenata) treated with different soils and Its Ecological Risk Assessment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	All manuscripts parts are cleared and need some corrections as following: 1) Abstract: the no. of total words is about 394 words which need to diminish to not increase than 250 words. Please remove the 1 st paragraph of the abstract and put it in the introduction part. 2) Introduction: is suitable. 3) Materials & methods; is moderate.	
Minor REVISION comments	Need some corrections	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	A lot of paragraphs are plagiarized and need correction as following: 1) About 6% of the abstract and introduction were plagiarized 2) About 12% from the methodology were plagiarized. 3) The results, discussion and conclusion were unique. All plagiarized report is attached as word file.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nagwa Thabet Elsharawy
Department, University & Country	University of Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)