



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_50148
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Yield Response of Bush Bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) as Influenced by Different Levels of Nitrogen and Phosphorus Application
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Plant nutrients include macro nutrients such as N,P,K,Mg,S; and micronutrients. Authors should include a paragraph that explain other nutrients in relation to soil fertility and plant or bean crop growth.</p> <p>Materials and methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) To justify why authors are using only single season data, why they did not consider a second season data or even third. Single season data might not be sufficient to give conclusion. Only December 2014 to March 2015?! Need justification, otherwise consider at least two seasons b) Plot size is given but bean crop spacing is not there, please add the crop spacing. c) What was used to supply N and P or which fertilizers were used as sources of N and P? What were characteristics of those fertilizers used? d) There is no any reference cited to support the protocol for experimental design, data collection and analysis, why? Please cite because it is not a new protocol. <p>Results and discussion</p> <p>Line 123: plant height N₂, what is it?</p> <p>Line 134: treatment combination N₂P₂, Why not state actual levels of N.. and P..?.</p> <p>Line 146: was also showed significant effect, improve the grammar</p> <p>Line 149: with the [11,12, 13], improve the way of reporting</p> <p>Line 155: use decreased instead of... reduced..</p> <p>Line 224: Omit were in.. yields per plot were varied from 2.65 kg to 3.3 kg..</p> <p>Line 245,: Should show according to which separation test? Duncan, Tukey, or.... The same applies to other tables of this nature throughout the study</p> <p>English Grammar need further improvement, authors should send to native English speaker.</p> <p>Format need consistency, check it properly.</p> <p>Convert kg P₂O₅/ha to kg P/ha for consistency throughout your study.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Title to be rephrase</p> <p>Conclusion to include key findings and recommendation</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	Authors should revise seriously to improve this work worthy for publication	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paul Sabas Saidia
Department, University & Country	Tanzania Agriculture Research Institute (TARI), Tanzania