



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_49507
Title of the Manuscript:	Urticaria associated with amoeboid forms of Blastocystis spp
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>An interesting case series linking Blastocystis to urticaria reaction.</p> <p>Minor comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Would authors recommend routine stool examination in the evaluation of patients with urticarial? If so, under what specific settings/ situations? - Photomicrograph of a Blastocystis from one of the patients should be provided. - Is the organism identified by routine microscopy? Any special confirmatory test required? - Why was tinidazole used instead of metronidazole? 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Tan Kong Bing</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>National University of Singapore , Singapore</i>