



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_47042
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Moringa Oleifera Leaves Extract on Alloxane Induced Diabetic Albino Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Modify the title to “ Effects of <i>Moringa Oleifera</i> Leaves Methanolic Extract on <b>Alloxan-</b> Induced Diabetic Albino Rats”.</p> <p>Use hyphen (-) in all the places where you have alloxan induced.</p> <p>The abstract read three week of treatment whereas in the materials and method section; induction of diabetes reads 28 days. Rectify this.</p> <p>How often did the rats receive treatments?</p> <p>The expression of the confidence level P-value could make the manuscript a little difficult for young researchers to understand. It would be better if the significant treatment and weeks be identified individually. Do this in both tables 1 and 2.</p> <p>You should also remove the keys below the tables. You have already expressed them in the materials and methods section.</p> <p>The start of the discussion is poor. Recast. It is wrong to start the discussion with results which were already seen. The paragraph is highlighted in red in the manuscript.</p> <p>To improve the readability of the discussion. You must remove the repetition o the results. Just point to them and do the discussion.</p> <p>The reference is not in accordance with the SDI authors' guideline.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Humane care of the rats were not reported	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Uchendu, Mbah Okuwa</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria</i></b>