



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Zoology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_49830
Title of the Manuscript:	FRESHWATER SNAILS OF MEDICAL IMPORTANCE IN VANDEIKYA LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF BENUE STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Author need to crosschecked the results in this manuscript. This is because, the author described a lot results using just single table. Both the table and figure legends are poorly stated. Author need to work on that. The introduction of the paper is quite scanty, author need to developed a very sound introduction that will clearly state the research problem and research gap. The methodology of the paper is highly incomplete. No method for determination of physicochemical parameters. Such result are necessary in order to know what is responsible for high composition of snails species (refer to the paper published by Abdulkadir et al., 2017, Title; Ecology and Distribution of Freshwater Snails in Gimbawa Dam, Kaduna State, Nigeria )</p> <p>More of such corrections can be found on the manuscript</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Author need to ensure that he proofread the whole article to avoid some minor grammatical error.</p> <p>Some of the references the author used were only found in-text not in reference list and vice-versa. E.g Dawaki et al., 2016, Michael et al 2010, Monde et al., 2015 etc are missing in the text. Where as Smooth, 2013, Oloyede, 2016, Duma et al., 2017 are missing in the reference list. If possible author need to add some pictures of the snail he identified. Also the paper does not have organisation. Structure is very important so as catch the attention of the readers.</p> <p>More of such corrections are appended on the word paper.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Haruna Saidu</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Gombe State University, Nigeria</i></b>