



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_44435
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Screening of Root, Stem and Leave Extracts of Terminalia avicenoides
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The authors did the work with interesting manner. The work done by this plant is good, but further it needs to explore more elaborately. ▪ Value the traditional use of medicinal plants more, bringing data of the percentage of people who use these plants to cure their ills, information on medicines developed from natural products, etc. That way, you will be better able to justify the reason for your work. ▪ You must be convincing, bring data, better contextualize the issue, show the differential of your work in relation to others. Why use medicinal plants as sources of new medicines? Why this particular plant? ▪ Why did you choose to make a watery extract? Have preliminary tests been done to determine the solvent? Usually the <u>ethanolic</u> extract is better. ▪ Make a table on methods describing succinctly how each class of metabolites was evaluated. ▪ To improve the phytochemical study, I suggest performing antimicrobial activity against some bacterial pathogens associated with infectious wounds. ▪ If you perform antimicrobial analysis, the I suggest the Title to be; PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF ROOT, STEM AND LEAF AQUEOUS EXTRACTS OF <i>TERMINALIA AVICENNOIDES</i> 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sara, Yahaya Galo
Department, University & Country	Umar Suleiman College of Education, Nigeria