



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_49407
Title of the Manuscript:	ROLE OF PREVALENT WEEDS AND CULTIVATED CROPS IN THE EPIDEMIOLOGY OF MAIZE LETHAL NECROSIS DISEASE IN MAJOR MAIZE GROWING AGROECOLOGICAL ZONES OF UGANDA
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In my opinion the statistics used are not appropriate but also are not needed. When there are so many zeros, X ² can't be used appropriately, and the fact that different weeds prevail in different regions does not require testing. IN general the hypothesis being tested to produce the values is not at all clear.	
Minor REVISION comments	See suggested editing	
Optional/General comments	Good work but lots of overkill in the analysis repeating table data; could readily be shortened to improve readability.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Clint Magill
Department, University & Country	Texas A&M University, USA