



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_49407
Title of the Manuscript:	ROLE OF PREVALENT WEEDS AND CULTIVATED CROPS IN THE EPIDEMIOLOGY OF MAIZE LETHAL NECROSIS DISEASE IN MAJOR MAIZE GROWING AGROECOLOGICAL ZONES OF UGANDA
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript presented relevant information about the role of weeds and cultivated crops as alternative hosts for the viruses that cause Maize Lethal Necrosis Disease. I suggest to summarize the information of tables 5,6,7, 8 and 9 in only 3 tables. The reason is because the information about distribution of weeds and cultivated crops in the different agroecological zones were already demonstrated in tables 3 and 4. Therefore, one table should show the distribution of weeds/cultivated crops along the seasons, other table should show the distribution of viruses along the agroecological zones and other table could summarize the detection of viruses per weed and cultivated crop in order to improve the understanding of the manuscript. Other suggestion or corrections are pointed out in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marcio Martinello Sanches Embrapa
Department, University & Country	Brazil