

SDI Review Form 1.6

	Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
	Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_49444
	Title of the Manuscript:	IDENTIFICATION OF ESSENTIAL THERAPEUTIC TARGETS ON Toxoplasma gondii PARASITE TO COMBAT TOXOPLASI
	Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I would suggest you remove the division of the article by numbers, rather use the paragraph title throughout the article.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed
		highlight that part in the manus
		his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Y. Peter
Department, University & Country	University of Abuja, Nigeria

SMOSIS

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write