



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_49723
Title of the Manuscript:	Winter to autumn phenophasic shift in flowering is economically productive, evolutionarily progressive, and environmentally well cued partial retrieval in <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> (Roxb.) Benth. of semi-arid climate
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. More than 60% of your references were too old. Please change it with the new one (<10 years) 2. Make your conclusion shorter. Look at the purpose of your research 3. Keywords too many. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Could be published after revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paul Benyamin Timotiwu
Department, University & Country	Uninersity Of Lampung, Indonesia