Original Research Article

Assessment of health risk associated with heavy metal contamination of edible vegetables in cement contaminated area.

Running title: Cement contamination of edible vegetables around cement factory.

ABSTRACT

Aim: Heavy metal (HM) content of some vegetables in the vicinity of a cement factory can be a useful index for assessment of HM contamination of the environment associated with cement production.

Study design: This cross sectional study was conducted at the United Cement Company at Mfamosing, Akamkpa local government area, Cross River State, Nigeria between February to November 2016.

Methods: One hundred and forty edible vegetables of *Telfairia occidentalis* (fluted pumpkin), *Vernonia amygdalina* (bitter leaf), *Amaranthus viriditis* (green leaf), *Talinum triangulare* (water leaf), *Lavantheca africanum*, *Heinsia crinata* and *Gnatum africana* were collected at varying distances and directions from a cement factory site and an area remote to the site serving as control. The lead (Pb), copper (Cu), manganese (Mn), iron (Fe), cadmium (Cd), selenium (Se), chromium (Cr), zinc (Zn) and arsenic (As) content of the vegetable samples were determined using atomic absorption spectrophotometry. Data were analyzed using analysis of variance at P = .05.

Results: The Pb, Cu, Mn, Fe, Cd, Se and Zn content of vegetables in all the locations studied were within the safe limits except for Cr and As levels of some vegetables from location closest to the factory which were higher than the safe limits. The HM content of all vegetables from location closest to the factory were significantly higher than those from other locations (P < 0.001). The hazard quotient (HQ) of all HM in all the vegetables were <1 except for Mn in T. occidentalis which was >1. The hazard index (Σ HQ) for all HM in all the vegetables were >1.

Conclusion: Cement production is associated with chromium and arsenic contamination of edible vegetables and increase in hazard index of HM levels in vegetables closest to the factory which may be implicated in increased risk for development of deleterious health consequences to consumers.

Key words: Cement dust, heavy metals, vegetables, contamination

Word count: 299

Introduction

Cement production is one of the major sources of environmental pollution associated with industrialization in developing countries. Cement dust emission has been described as the major source of heavy metal contamination of the environment [1]. Higher values than safe limits have been reported for Pb, Cd, Mn and Cr in water and leafy vegetables in cement contaminated areas [2]. These HM bioaccumulate, enters the food chain with potentials for deleterious impact on the vegetation, animal and human health. In humans, it has been associated with various respiratory, immunologic, hematological diseases, genotoxicity and cancers [3]. In plants, HM toxicity has been associated with inhibitory effect on plants growth, enzymatic activity, stoma functions, photosynthesis and damage to the root system [4]. The intensity and nature of the damage is a function of the concentration of the pollutants and the duration of exposure (dose) while degree of HM accumulation in plants have been shown to be influenced by their relative distance from the metal source and seasonal effects [5].

Vegetables serve as food for man and are the major sources of vitamins and minerals in the diet. HM content of edible vegetables in the vicinity of cement factories may be a reflection of the level of exposure in man and may be a useful index for monitoring environmental pollution emanating from cement production [6]. To date, information on HM contamination of edible vegetables in the vicinity of united cement factory in Akamkpa, Cross River State Nigeria and associated health risk is still uncertain. Vegetables as *Telfairia occidentalis*, *Vernonia amygdalina*, *Amaranthus viriditis*, *Talinum triangulare*, *Lavantheca africanum*, *Heinsia crinata and Gnantum africana* constitute the major diet of the population in this locality, therefore knowledge of the HM concentration of these vegetables and monitoring exposures to them through diet may therefore be important in circumventing the health risk associated with their consumption. This study therefore assessed the heavy element content of *Telfairia occidentalis*, *Vernonia amygdalina*, *Amaranthus viriditis*, *Talinum triangulare*, *Lavantheca africanum*, *Heinsia crinata and Gnantum africana* in the vicinity of the factory to assess the effect of cement dust exposure on the HM content of these vegetables and the potential health risk which may be associated with their consumption.

Materials and methods

Study Area.

This study was conducted at the United Cement Company at Mfamosing, Akamkpa local government area, Cross River State, Nigeria. The site is close to 5km west to Mbebui village at coordinate 05.04493°N, 008.298995°E, 5km south to Abifan community at coordinate 05.07591°N, 008.52192°E and 2km east to Mfamosing community and 3km east to main quarry site at coordinate 05.06993°N, 008.53908Oe [7].

Sample collection and preparation

One hundred and forty vegetables samples (4 samples each from each location) comprising of *Telfairia occidentalis* (fluted pumpkin), *Vernonia amygdalina* (bitter leaf), *Amaranthus viriditis* (green leaf), *Talinum triangulare* (water leaf), *Lavantheca africanum*, *Heinsia crinata* and *Gnatum africana* were collected from quarry site camp 100m away from cement factory, 3 communities in the vicinity of the factory; Mfanmosing l, 2km east of quarry site (East), Mfanmosing ll 3km south of quarry site (South), Mbebui 5km west of quarry site (West), and from Calabar metropolis 45km away from the quarry site (North) which served as the control samples.

Leaves of the vegetable samples were collected in quadriplate, wrapped in absorbent paper and taken to the laboratory. Samples were thoroughly washed with distilled water, initially air dried and then oven dried at 70°C to remove all moisture. Dried samples were then crushed using pestle and mortar. Digestion of vegetable samples were done by adding 0.5g of crushed sample into appropriately labeled chemically clean digestion tubes, followed by the addition of 10ml of Tri-

Comment [H1]: Please re-phrase

Comment [H2]: quadruplet

Acid mixture (HNO₃: HClO₄ and HCl in a ratio of 2:1:2) to obtain a homogenous mixture. Samples were cooled and filtered using Whatman filter paper. The final volume of the filtrate was made up to 50ml with deionised water and then centrifuged for 5 minutes at 500g. The clear supernatant solutions were transferred to a set of plastic vials for the estimation of Pb, Cu, Mn, Fe, Cd, Se, Cr, Zn and As.

Comment [H3]: Do you mean 500rpm?

Laboratory methods

Estimation of heavy metals by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry.

Determination of Pb, Cu, Mn, Fe, Cd, Se, Cr, Zn and As was done by atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Model 2380 PerkineElmer Inc., Norwalk, CT, USA) [8].

Health Risk Assessment

Health risk associated with any pollutant is dependent upon the level of exposure and amount of absorption by human body [9]. Hazard quotient and hazard index have been described as valid tools to assess the level of risk associated with particular pollutant.

Estimation of hazard quotient (HQ)

An estimate of the potential hazard to human health (HQ) through consumption of vegetables grown in metal-contaminated soil was calculated as described in the equation below [9]: HQ = (Div) x (Cmetal) / RfD x Bo

Where (*Div*) is the daily intake of vegetables (kg per day), (Cmetal) is the concentration of metal in the vegetable (mg kg-1). Daily intake of vegetables was taken as 0.100 kg for adults, as this is the minimum vegetable requirement for a balanced diet [10]. Average body weight (Bo) for adults in the study location was 62 kg [11]. Reference oral dose (RfD) (mg/kg/day) for elements studied were Cadmium 0.001 (mg/kg/day), Copper 0.04 (mg/kg/day), Lead 0.004 (mg/kg/day), Iron 0.7 (mg/kg/day) [12], arsenic 0.003 (mg/kg/day), manganese 0.14 (mg/kg/day), zinc 0.3 (mg/kg/day), chromium 1.5 (mg/kg/day)[13], selenium 0.039 (mg/kg/day) [14]. If level of Hazard quotient is less than 1, the risk associated with exposure of metal is negligible. However if level of hazard quotient is higher than 1, the metal may pose serious health hazards [9].

Estimation of hazard index

The potential risk to human health through more than one metal, the hazard index (HI) has been developed [9]. The hazard index which is the sum of the hazard quotients was calculated as described in equation below:

 $HI = \Sigma HQ = HQCd + HQFe + HQPb + HQMn + HQCr + \dots$

When the hazard index exceeds 1.0, there is concern for potential health effects [15].

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was done using the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS version 20.0). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test significance of variations within and among group means and Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) post hoc test was used for comparison of multiple group means. A probability value p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The essential metal (Mn, Fe, Zn, Cu, Se) content of vegetables in all the locations studied were shown in table 1. The mean concentration of the essential metal in all the vegetables studied varied significantly with the vegetable type and the location of the vegetable from the cement factory (p<0.001). The essential metal content of the vegetable sample collected from all locations was within the recommended safe limits for these metals in vegetable samples.

Table 2 shows non-essential metal (Pb, Cr, As, Cd) content of edible vegetables in all the locations studied. The edible vegetable content of non-essential metal studied varied significantly with the vegetable type and the location of the vegetable from the cement factory (p<0.001). The non-essential metal content of the vegetable sample collected from all locations were within the recommended safe limits for these metals in vegetable samples except for Cr and As levels of some vegetables from Camp closest to the cement factory plant which were observed to be higher than the safe limits.

The comparison of all metals (essential and non-essential) in all vegetable samples from camp against other locations using post hoc analysis was depicted in table 3. Significantly higher levels of Mn, Fe, Zn, Se and Cd were observed in vegetables samples from Camp closest to the cement plant than those from other locations (p<0.001). Edible vegetables from Camp had higher Cr and Cu levels compared to East, South and North (control) (p<0.001).

The comparison of all metals (essential and non-essential) in all vegetable samples from North (control) against other locations using post hoc analysis was depicted in table 4. Significantly higher levels of Cu, Fe, Mn and lower levels of Cr and As were observed in vegetables samples from North (control) compared to those from other locations (p<0.001). Edible vegetables from west had higher Cr and As levels compared to those from east (p<0.001).

The calculated hazard quotient (HQ) and hazard index (HI) of the metals in different vegetables studied was shown in table 5. The calculated HQ of all the heavy metals in all the vegetables studied were below 1 except for Mn in *Telfaria occidentalis* whose HQ is greater than 1. The calculated HI (Σ HQ) of all the elements in all the vegetables studied was above 1 indicating potential risk to human health via consumption of these vegetables.

Table 1: Essential metal content of vegetable samples from Camp, West, East and South of Camp and North (control)

HM	Location	Amaranthus	Talinum	Vernonia	Telfaria	Lavantheca	Heinsia	Gnatum	Location	Vegetable Effect	Loc/Veg	Safe
(mg/kg)		Viriditis Triangular	Triangulare	Amygdalina	Occidentalis	Africanum	Crinata	Africana	Effect F p	F p	Effect F p	limit
Mn	Camp	84.23±0.93	62.38±0.71	96.65±0.85	104.83±1.39	87.71±0.54	79.59±0.73	48.62±2.43				500 [16]
	West	62.11±3.22	59.272±1.2	60.60 ± 0.40	75.36±0.494	67.77 ± 0.68	64.11±4.55	58.41±0.52				
	East	59.29±4.69	53.86±1.22	55.33±0.87	68.781 ± 0.72	76.36±5.26	44.82 ± 0.97	54.56±1.13	136.87 < .001	275.03 < .001	19.49 < .001	
	South	46.02±1.33	48.48±1.57	54.35±1.08	62.66±0.989	73.67 ± 2.73	55.22±0.99	55.22±0.99				
	North	59.53±2.62	59.34±0.49	49.57±0.74	90.661±4.22	63.44±0.56	68.60 ± 0.97	66.07±0.76				
Fe	Camp	122.45±1.4	139.16±1.2	160.17±0.6	149.70±0.61	145.83±0.9	158.74±0.5	145.21±0.82				425 [16]
	West	74.56±1.77	70.70±1.28	89.48±0.39	86.44±0.79	84.47 ± 0.94	89.23±0.72	75.39±0.74				
	East	70.30 ± 2.34	80.36±1.24	62.75 ± 0.53	50.30±0.49	65.66±1.28	58.40±2.23	60.20±0.89	358.71 < .001	18.00 <.001	21.69 < .001	
	South	88.28 ± 0.45	70.66 ± 0.70	92.61±0.79	82.41 ± 0.23	83.22 ± 0.78	77.38±1.21	84.07±1.02				
	North	69.84±2.35	85.73±0.47	86.52±0.70	82.63±0.92	38.62±0.59	63.61±1.22	80.73±0.74				
Zn	Camp	31.73±0.65	30.18±1.40	36.02±0.87	52.65±0.60	24.52±1.19	33.66±0.57	40.41±0.52				60 [17]
	West	13.80 ± 2.55	12.28±0.69	10.59 ± 0.72	38.93±0.14	21.23±0.76	16.32±0.97	20.41±0.73				
	East	27.35±1.13	16.10±1.25	11.57±0.86	39.25±0.73	25.24±0.91	19.12±1.33	18.14±0.76	321.99 < .001	264.2 < .001	36.35 < .001	
	South	14.35±1.12	12.35±1.21	15.67±0.72	13.89±0.96	14.56 ± 0.88	11.84 ± 0.70	12.74±1.62				
	North	9.46 ± 0.56	14.59±0.86	10.40 ± 0.97	15.71±0.46	23.54±1.31	12.49±0.98	26.29±0.55				
Cu	Camp	11.98±1.21	16.22 ± 1.02	13.62 ± 0.91	29.56±0.89	17.99±0.11	14.73±0.89	18.65±0.61				40 [18]
	West	18.57±1.46	9.75 ± 0.72	10.71±1.14	23.82±0.64	28.25±0.89	16.27±0.74	20.54±0.47				
	East	12.96 ± 0.83	12.86 ± 0.73	13.69±0.64	12.36±0.53	13.16±1.33	12.86±1.03	14.15 ± 0.77	4.23 .003	51.03 <.001	13.68 < .001	
	South	10.12±0.56	11.60 ± 1.05	9.52 ± 0.16	9.98±1.64	10.92±0.88	11.35±1.01	9.21±1.46				
	North	19.66±1.02	19.15±1.49	14.52±0.69	13.72 ± 0.88	12.57±1.23	14.77±0.86	28.44±0.89				
Se	Camp	0.030±0.002	0.029±0.002	0.042±0.002	0.032 ± 0.001	0.038 ± 0.001	0.030±0.001	0.036 ± 0.004				0.1[19]
	West	0.009 ± 0.001	0.007 ± 0.001	0.014±0.001	0.010±0.000	0.006 ± 0.001	0.014 ± 0.001	0.018 ± 0.001				
	East	0.011 ± 0.001	0.007 ± 0.001	0.014±0.001	0.019±0.001	0.013±0.029	0.013 ± 0.101	0.012 ± 0.041	236.96 < .001	10.30 < .001	16.17 < .001	
	South	0.010 ± 0.066	0.013 ± 0.001	0.013 ± 0.002	0.011±0.071	0.010 ± 0.221	0.012 ± 0.001	0.011 ± 0.054				
	North	0.013 ± 0.001	0.014 ± 0.002	0.013 ± 0.001	0.008±0.001	0.010 ± 0.001	0.012 ± 0.001	0.005 ± 0.001				

Loc = location, Vege = vegetable

Table: 2: Non-essential metal content of vegetable samples from Camp, West, East and South of Camp and North (control).

Element	Locati	Amaranthus	Talinum	Vernonia	Telfaria	Lavantheca	Heinsia	Gnatum	Locatio	n	Vegetal	ole Effect	Locatio	n/Vegetabl	Safe
(mg/kg)	on	Viriditis	Triangulare	Amygdalina	Occidentalis	Africanum	Crinata	Africana	Effect		F	p	e Effect		Limit
									F	p			F	p	
Pb	Camp	0.073 ± 0.02	0.04 ± 0.001	0.04 ± 0.002	0.028 ± 0.001	0.03 ± 0.001	0.029 ± 0.001	0.031 ± 0.002							0.3 [16]
	West	0.037 ± 0.002	0.046 ± 0.001	0.051 ± 0.001	0.044 ± 0.002	0.053 ± 0.002	0.026 ± 0.001	0.039 ± 0.001							
	East	0.045 ± 0.014	0.044 ± 0.008	0.047 ± 0.001	0.039 ± 0.001	0.042 ± 0.022	0.044 ± 0.026	0.041±0.010	5.09	0.001	10.52	<.001	10.58	<.001	
	South	0.044 ± 0.016	0.037 ± 0.002	0.047 ± 0.002	0.044 ± 0.003	0.042 ± 0.002	0.041 ± 0.010	0.040±0.012							
	North	0.029 ± 0.001	0.058 ± 0.002	0.033 ± 0.001	0.037 ± 0.001	0.029 ± 0.001	0.035±0.001	0.037 ± 0.001							
Cr	Camp	*2.937±0.101	*2.591±0.73	*3.221±0.21	*3.050±0.127	*3.334±0.12	*3.161±0.097	*2.964±0.09	. 10						2.3[16]
	West	1.698 ± 0.696	1.740 ± 0.147	1.835±0.079	1.928 ± 0.077	2.106±0.126	2.266±0.112	2.44±0.09)						
	East	1.927 ± 0.102	2.299±0.835	2.742±0.523	2.027±0.100	2.222±0.056	2.134±0.064	2.124±0.001	17.10	<.001	.526	.787	3.34	<.001	
	South	3.071 ± 0.149	2.272±0.995	2.371±0.149	3.424 ± 0.109	3.461±0.124	1.780±0.051	3.562 ± 0.098							
	North	1.95 ± 1.261	1.938±0.148	1.81 ± 0.054	1.966 ± 0.068	1.759 ± 0.084	1.556±0.159	1.935±0.047							
As	Camp	*0.221±0.011	0.190 ± 0.002	*0.225±0.02	0.198 ± 0.007	*0.223±0.10	0.195±0.011	0.198±0.008							0.2 [17]
	West	0.167 ± 0.038	0.138±0.009	0.164 ± 0.005	0.145 ± 0.006	0.181±0.010	0.199±0.007	0.186±0.009							
	East	0.194 ± 0.021	0.192±0.017	0.197±0.007	0.198 ± 0.007	0.195±0.144	0.193 ± 0.026	0.190 ± 0.042	11.40	<.001	6.99	<.001	7.41	<.001	
	South	0.192 ± 0.221	0.174±0.013	0.155±0.002	0.184 ± 0.008	0.176 ± 0.034	0.182 ± 0.011	0.186 ± 0.102							
	North	0.185 ± 0.025	0.141 ± 0.007	0.185 ± 0.006	0.134 ± 0.005	0.183±0.007	0.174±0.008	0.130 ± 0.006							
Cd	Camp	0.026 ± 0.001	0.006 ± 0.001	0.048 ± 0.011	0.038 ± 0.001	0.028±0.001	0.038±0.001	0.046 ± 0.002							0.1[16]
	West	0.008 ± 0.001	0.006±0.001	0.009 ± 0.001	0.007±0.001	0.005±0.001	0.002 ± 0.001	0.007 ± 0.001							[1
	East	0.002 ± 0.004	0.002 ± 0.002	0.002 ± 0.001	0.002±0.001	0.002 ± 0.006	0.021 ± 0.001	0.019 ± 0.001	393.7	<.001	32.63	<.001	37.10	<.001	
	South	0.008 ± 0.034	0.007 ± 0.044	0.007 ± 0.002	0.008 ± 0.002	0.008±0.042	0.006±0.001	0.007 ± 0.022							
	North	0.002 ± 0.001	0.002 ± 0.001	0.004 ± 0.001	0.002 ± 0.001	0.001±0.000	0.002 ± 0.001	0.002 ± 0.001							

^{* =} above safe limits

Table 3: Comparison of all metal levels in all vegetable samples from Camp, West, East and South of Camp and North (control) using post hoc analysis.

Locations	Elements	Mean difference	F-value	p-value
	(mg/kg)			
Camp/West	Mn	22.124±3.080	24.325	< 0.001
	Fe	65.280 ± 2.867	216.382	< 0.001
	Zn	15.222±1.945	27.640	< 0.001
	Se	0.022 ± 0.001	175.176	< 0.001
	Cd	0.025 ± 0.002	98.119	< 0.001
Camp/East	Mn	19.006±4.063	24.325	< 0.001
	Fe	81.978±3.783	216.382	< 0.001
	Zn	12.202±2.566	27.640	< 0.001
	Cr	1.062±0.170	25.775	< 0.001
	As	0.034±0.011	16.181	0.024
	Se	0.020 ± 0.001	175.176	< 0.001
	Cd	0.029±0.002	98.119	< 0.001
Camp/South	Mn	37.074±4.063	24.325	< 0.001
	Fe	66.231±3.783	216.382	< 0.001
	Zn	21.222±2.566	27.640	< 0.001
	Cu	6.433±1.683	9.004	0.002
	As	0.040±0.011	16.181	0.004
	Se	0.021±0.001	175.176	< 0.001
	Cd	0.024±0.002	98.119	< 0.001
Camp/North	Mn	17.247±3.115	24.325	< 0.001
	Fe	73.272±2.900	216.382	< 0.001
	Zn	17.635±1.967	27.640	< 0.001
	Cr	1.071±0.130	25.775	< 0.001
	As	0.039 ± 0.009	16.181	< 0.001
	Se	0.024 ± 0.001	175.176	< 0.001
	Cd	0.029 ± 0.001	98.119	< 0.001

Table 4: Comparison of all metal levels in all vegetable samples from Camp, West, East and South of Camp with North (control) using post hoc analysis.

Locations	Parameter (ppm)	Mean difference	F-value	p-value
North/West	Fe	7.992±2.738	216.382	0.033
	Cr	-0.873±0.123	25.775	< 0.001
	As	-0.036±0.008	16.181	< 0.001
North/East	Cu	5.435±1.640	9.004	0.010
North/South	Mn	19.827±3.959	24.325	< 0.001
	Cu	7.583±1.640	9.004	< 0.001
	Cr	-0.890±0.165	25.775	< 0.001
	As	-0.079±0.011	16.181	< 0.001
West/ East	Fe	16.698±3.660	216.382	< 0.001
	Cu	5.969±1.629	9.004	0.003
	Cr	-0.864±0.164	25.775	< 0.001
	As	-0.031±0.011	16.181	0.035
West/ South	Mn	14.950±3.931	24.325	0.002
	Cu	8.117±1.629	9.004	< 0.001
	As	0.042±0.011	16.181	0.001
East/South	Mn	18.068±4.741	24.325	0.002
	Fe	15.747±4.414	216.382	0.004
	Zn	9.021±2.994	27.640	0.025
	Cr	0.882±0.198	25.775	< 0.001
	As	0.074±0.013	16.181	< 0.001

Table 5: Hazard quotients (HQ) and Hazzard Index (HI) of all metals in different Vegetables studied

Element (mg/kg)	Amaranthus Viriditis	Talinum Triangulare	Vernonia Amygdalina	Telfaria Occidentalis	Lavantheca Africanum	Heinsia Crinata	Gnatum Africana
Mn	0.86	0.65	0.75	1.11*	0.85	0.71	0.72
Fe	0.0096	0.0092	0.011	0.0099	0.0099	0.010	0.0084
Zn	0.098	0.092	0.095	0.20	0.12	0.10	0.13
Cu	0.67	0.56	0.50	0.80	0.83	0.58	0.99
Pb	0.019	0.018	0.017	0.015	0.016	0.013	0.015
Cr	0.0025	0.0025	0.0026	0.0027	0.0025	0.0027	0.0029
As	0.95	0.85	0.80	0.85	0.97	0.94	0.96
Se	0.0007	0.00057	0.00083	0.0007	0.0007	0.0007	0.0005
Cd	0.019	0.008	0.024	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.008
ΗΙ(ΣΗQ)	2.63	2.19	2.20	3.01	2.82	2.38	2.83

^{* =} may pose serious health risk

DISCUSSION

Cement production results in neighbourhood pollution and deposition of cement dust on the vegetation, topmost soil and water bodies in the vicinity of the cement plant leading to changes in both physical and chemical characteristics of the natural environment/ecosystem. The degree of deposition and deleterious consequences is a function of relative distances from the cement plant. The HM contamination of some edible vegetables samples collected at various distances from a cement factory site was determined in this study.

In this study, the Mn, Fe, Zn, Cu, Se, Pb, and Cd content of vegetables in all the locations studied were below the recommended safe limits for vegetables. However, the Cr and As levels of some vegetables at location closest to the factory (camp) was observed to be higher than the recommended safe limit. The Cr and As levels in vegetables from the reference or control environment (North) was found to be within the safe limit. Higher values than safe limits have also been observed for Cd and Cr in leafy vegetable especially Spinach, in cement contaminated areas [20]. The proximity of this location to the cement plant may be responsible for the Cr and As contamination of these vegetables rather than from other contamination sources. Prolonged consumption of HM through food stuff beyond maximum permissible level (MPL) has been shown to result in their accumulation on vital organs leading to nervous, cardiovascular, hepatic, renal, neurological impairment as well as bone diseases and several other health disorders [21].

The mean concentration of the elements in all the vegetables studied varied with the vegetable type and the location of the vegetable from the cement factory. Variations in the concentrations of HM in vegetables have been ascribed to the concentration of HM of their production sites, the vegetable type, difference in uptake capabilities and their translocation to the edible portion of the plants [22]. The uptake, accumulation and translocation of HM in plants may vary in different species due to anatomical and physiological differences [23]. Differences in the nature and structure of the plant leaves may be responsible for the differences in the concentrations of trace metals in the foliar part even for those collected from the same area. Hairy and waxy leaves retain more HM compared to leaves without hairs or wax [2]. Foliar absorption of solutes also depend on the plant species its nutritional status, the thickness of it cuticle, the age of the leaf, the presence of the stomata guard cells, the humidity of the leaf surface and the nature of the solutes [24]. Certain species of plants have been found to accumulate very high concentration of certain HM and these are referred to as hyper accumulator species [25]. Because of these properties, plant leaves are used as bioindicators and/or biomonitors of HM pollution. The amount of metal in the leaf tissues of herbaceous and woody plant species growing near emission sources reflects the pollution level of these areas [2].

The mean HM content of all the vegetables from camp closest to the cement plant were significantly higher than those from other locations studied. This observation implies that higher metal content of vegetables in this location may be the result of contamination of these vegetables by cement dust emanating from the cement factory. Analysis of vegetables grown in locations close to industries has reported elevated levels of heavy metals contaminants [2, 26]. Cement dust generated during cement production is dispersed into the atmosphere in form of aerosols and particulate matter. These aerosols can be deposited on soil and are absorbed by vegetables, or alternatively deposited on leaves and fruits and then absorbed. The uptake and bioaccumulation of HM in vegetables are influenced by a number of factors such as climate, atmospheric depositions, the concentrations of HM in soil, the nature of soil on which the vegetables are grown and the degree of maturity of the plants at the time of harvest [26]. Field studies have found positive relationships between atmospheric metal deposition and elevated concentrations of HM in plants and top soil. Elevated levels of

Comment [H4]: What is the reason?

some HM as Pb,Ni, Cr, Zn, Cd, and Cu have been reported in vegetable samples in the vicinity of cement factory sites [2, 24].

The calculated HQ of all the metals in all the vegetables studied were below 1 except for Mn in *Telfaria Occidentalis* whose HQ is greater than 1. This indicates that the metal content of these vegetables is safe with no risk to human health. Higher HQ value for Mn implies that Mn though vital for human life can cause severe toxicity symptoms when in excess. Mn toxicity in animals has been linked with depressed growth, appetite and altered brain function [27]. However, the Mn content of the other vegetables were below the recommended safe limit.

The calculated HI of all the metals in all the vegetables studied were above 1 indicating potential risk to human health via consumption of these vegetables. The hazard index which is the sum of all the hazard quotients put together, assumes that the magnitude of the adverse effect will be proportional to the sum of multiple metal exposures [15]. Even though there was no apparent risk when each metal was analyzed individually, the potential risk could be multiplied when considering all HMs. Vegetables are essential component of human diet and some metals present in vegetables are important biochemically and physiologically for health [22], while some HM like mercury, As, Pb, Cd and Cr (VI) are toxic depending on the dose [28]. The consumption of HM contaminated food can seriously deplete some essential nutrients in the body that can lead to decreased immune function, intrauterine growth retardation, impaired psycho-social facilities, disabilities associated with malnutrition and increased risk for cancers [27, 29].

Conclusion

The findings of this study have shown that edible vegetables cultivated at locations closest to the cement factory studied have higher HM content though within the permissible limit and therefore poses no risk to human health except for their Cr and As content which are slightly above the safe limit with potentials for organ and systemic toxicities. However, long term consumption of these vegetables may result in chronic cumulative effects and undesirable health consequences. Proper assessment of the degree of risk posed by consumption of these vegetables is necessary in order to implement appropriate remediation strategies to avert undesirable health concerns.

References

- [1] Egbe ER, Nsonwu-Anyanwu AC, Offor SJ, Usoro CAO, Etukudo MH, Egbe DI. Element Content of Surface and Underground Water Sources around a Cement Factory Site in Calabar, Nigeria. *IJT* 2017; (1): 19-25.
- [2] Chauhan G. Toxicity study of metals contamination on vegetables grown in the vicinity of cement factory. *IJSR* 2014; 4(11): 1-9.
- [3] Ogunbileje JO, Akinosun OM. Biochemical and haematological profile in Nigerian cement factory workers. *Research Journal of Environmental Toxicology* 2011; 5(2): 133–140.

Comment [H5]: What is the suspected reason for high accumulation of Mn in *Telfaria*Occidentalis?

Comment [H6]: How can we relate the HQ and HI for appropriate communication to consumer to alleviate fear that could crop from risk and hazard difference?

- [4] Addo MA, Darko EO, Gordon 1B, Nyarko JB, Gbadago JK, Nyarko 1H, Affum A, Botwe O. Evaluation of Heavy Metals Contamination of Soil and Vegetation in the Vicinity of a Cement Factory in the Volta Region, Ghana. *International Journal of Science and Technology* 2012; 2(1): 40-50.
- [5] Chaney RL, Strrett SB, Mickle HN. Heavy Metals in Urban soils. In Proc. Symp. Preer, JR., editor. Univ. Dist. Columbia, Washington, 1984; pp. 37-84.
- [6] Majolagbe AO, Yusuf KA, Duru AE. Trace metals characterisation in environmental media: a case study of cement production area, Ewekoro, Southwest, Nigeria. European Scientific Journal 2013; 3: 208-217
- [7] Lameed G, Ayodele A. Environmental impact assessment of cement factory production on biodiversity: a case study of UNICEM, Calabar Nigeria. *World J Biol Sci* 2008; 1(1):1-7.
- [8] Everson ME. Spectrophotometric techniques. In: Burtis CA, Ashwood ER, editors. Tietz Textbook of Clinical Chemistry, WB. Saunders, Philadelphia, Pa, USA. 1999. pp. 75–93.
- [9] United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Human Health Evaluation Manual [Part A]. Interim Final. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA 1989 (EPA/540/1-89/002)
- [10] National Institute of Nutrition. Dietary guidelines for Indians—a manual. Hyderabad 2011; 500 007.
- [11] Egbe, ER, Nsonwu-Anyanwu, AC, Offor SJ, Usoro CAO, Etukudo MH, Egbe DI. Cement Dust Exposure and Perturbations in Some Elements and Lung and Liver Functions of Cement Factory Workers. Journal of Toxicology 2016; 2016: 1-7.
- [12] United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). Human health risk assessment: risk-based concentration table 2015. http://www.epa.gov/reg3hwmd/risk/human/rbconcentration_table/Generic_Tables/index.htm
- [13] United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). Exposure factors handbook—general factors. EPA/600/P-95/002Fa, 1997 vol. I. http://www.epa.gov/ncea/pdfs/efh/front.pdf
- [14] Ministry of Agric Forestry and Fisheries. Total diet study Al, As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Hg, Ni, Se, tin, Zn. Food surveillance information sheet no.191. London 1999.
- [15] Huang, ML, Zhou, SL, Sun B, Zhao Q.G. Heavy metals in wheat grain: Assessment of potential health risk for inhabitants in Kunshan, China. *Science of the Total Environment* 2008; 405: 54-61.

- [16] Sharma RK, Agrawal M, Marshall FM. Heavy metal (Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb) contamination of vegetables in urban India: A case study in Varanasi. Environmental Pollution 2008; 154: 254-263.
- [17] Tasrina RC, Rowshon A, Mustafizur AMR, Rafiqul I, Ali MP. Heavy Metals Contamination in Vegetables and its Growing Soil. J Environ Anal Chem 2015; 2: 142. doi:10.41722380-2391.1000142
- [18] Lokeshappa B, Shivpuri K, Tripath V, Dikshit AK. Assessment of toxic metals in agricultural product. Food Pub Heat 2012; 2(1):24–29.
- [19] World Health Organization (WHO). Guidelines for drinking-water quality, 2nd ed. Volume 1. Recommendations. Volume 2. Health criteria and other supporting information. Geneva, 1993; (1&2) in press.
- [20] Codex Alimentarius Commission. Joint FAO/WHO. Food additives and contaminants. Joint FAO/WHO food Standards program 2001; ALINORM 01/12A: 1-289.
- [21] European Commission (EC) Commission Regulation No 1881/2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. Official Journal of the European Union. 2006; 364: 06–24.
- [22] Codex Alimentarious Commission Joint FAO/WHO food standards programme. Codex General Standard for Contaminants and Toxins in Foods 1996. Doc No.Cx/FAC 96/17.
- [23] USDA Foreign Agricultural Service GAIN report China, Peoples Republic of FAIRS Product Specific Maximum Levels of Contaminants in Foods 2006.
- [24] Jarup L. Hazards of heavy metal contamination. Br Med Bull 2003; 68:167–82
- [25] Yeasmin NJ, Ashraful I, Shawkat A. Transfer of metals from soil to vegetables and possible health risk assessment. Springer Plus 2013; 2:385-393
- [26] Singh S, Kumar M. Heavy metal load of soil, water and vegetables in peri-urban Delhi. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 2006; 120, 79-91.
- [27] Doganlar ZB, Doganlar O, Erdogan S, Onal Y. Heavy metal pollution and physiological changes in the leaves of some shrub, palm and tree species in urban areas of Adana, Turkey. Chemical Speciation & Bioavailability 2012; 24(2): 65-78.
- [28] Olowoyo JO, Mugivhisa LL, Busa NG. Trace Metals in Soil and Plants around a Cement Factory in Pretoria, South Africa. Pol. J. Environ. Stud. 2015; 24(5): 2087-2093

[29] Maina HM, Egila JN, Peter RA. Impact of Cement Dust Deposition on Plants in the Vicinity of Ashaka Cement Factory, Gombe State, Nigeria ARPN Journal of Science and Technology 2015; 5(8): 406-414.

14