

Original Research Article

Implication of multivariate analysis in breeding to obtain desired plant type of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench)

Abstract

Twenty diverse okra genotypes were evaluated to assess the genetic diversity based on quantitative morphological and qualitative biochemical traits in a randomized block design during 2015-16. On the basis of D^2 analysis, the 20 genotypes were clustered into five groups. Cluster I constituted the largest group (10 genotypes) followed by cluster II (7 genotypes). The cluster III, IV and V contains only 1 genotype in each cluster. The phytochemical character carotenoids alone contribute highest percentage (39%) toward divergence, followed by chlorophyll a (31%) and anthocyanin (17%). The five principal components have accounted 81.19% of total variation and percent variation expected were 36.27% (PC1), 18.21% (PC2), 16.42% (PC3), 5.91% (PC4) and 4.38% (PC5), respectively. The PC1 has positive association with days to first flowering, followed by yield/plant, primary branches/plant, carotenoid and phenol content. . However, PC1 has negative association for fruits length, fruit diameter and ascorbic acid content. Therefore, the traits viz., days to first flowering, first flowering node and yield per plant should be given top priority in diverse parent selection for attempting high yielding along with important phytochemical properties in okra. Allocations of germplasm into different clusters were because of cumulative effect of number of characters.

Keywords: Genetic diversity, okra, principal component, yield, biochemical

Introduction

Okra is a multipurpose and multifarious vegetable crop valued for its tender and delicious pods [1], rich in iodine and fibre which are cooked in curry and also in soup making. The dried seeds of okra are used as a coffee additives or substitutes and are reported to contain 18-20% oil and 20-25% crude protein [2]. It is cultivated in tropical, sub-tropical and mild temperate parts of the world and gaining importance in these regions of the world; especially in Egypt. The tender green pods have an average nutritive value of 3.21 which is higher than tomato, eggplant and most cucurbits except bitter gourd [3]. In addition to its usefulness as a vegetable, its fruits are also useful in curing ulcer and suppressing the pains and effects of haemorrhoid. Okra fruits have mucilage in latex form i.e. used as a plasma

Comment [HS1]: Replace with were

33 replacement or blood volume expander [4]. It is photo insensitive in nature, short duration
34 and having high seed setting capacity which makes the crop ideal for genetical study in crop
35 improvement. In spite of its multipurpose use, it is being neglected because of the non-
36 availability of improved and high yielding locally adapted cultivars and reduction in yield
37 due to frequent attack of shoot and fruit borer and yellow vein mosaic virus. At present, the
38 major objective of okra breeding programs is improving yield and ensuring its sustainability
39 under adverse conditions through various techniques, hence, it is very necessary to determine
40 the factors or traits that influence fruit and seed yields of okra, directly and indirectly or both.
41 The major limiting factor which is responsible for reduction of cultivation of okra is
42 incidence of okra yellow vein mosaic virus and its vector whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci* Gen.). It
43 affects the quality of the fruit which ultimately cause heavy loss of fruit yield [5]. Among the
44 world India secure the first rank in collection of cultivated okra (*Abelmoschus esculents* L.
45 Moench) in the gene bank. Moreover, India share 72.9 % of the world okra production and
46 among Indian states, West Bengal (14% share) is leading in okra producer followed by Bihar
47 (12% share). The main reasons for wide genetic diversity are geographically separation,
48 genetic barriers to crossability, and different parents of evolution [6]. Genetic diversity is a
49 key factor for crop improvement from which useful characters can be selected for developing
50 broad-based populations to be used in hybridization programme towards improvement [7]
51 and [8]. Mahalanobis D^2 statistics which is based on multivariate analysis is a powerful tool
52 to estimate the degree of divergence among genotypes in the population and nature of forces
53 operating at different levels [9]. For selection of parent for hybridization programme it is very
54 essential to know the information of genetic diversity of okra germplasm. It revealed rich
55 genetic diversity for various growth, earliness and yield associated traits in the germplasm
56 offering a great scope for improvement of okra (Ghai and co-workers [10], Kumari and
57 Chaudhury [11], Singh and co-workers [12], Bendale and co-workers [13]). Moreover, most
58 frequent genetic diversity assessing methods are cluster analysis and principal component
59 analysis (PCA). The cluster analysis has been most exploited for assessing family
60 relationships [14]. The present investigation was therefore, undertaken to assess the nature
61 and genetic diversity available in a large germplasm and the characters which play important
62 role in genetic diversity of okra.

63 **Materials and Methods**

64 The present investigation was carried out using 20 okra genotypes including 4 checks
65 i.e. Kashi Pragati (VRO 6), Kashi Kranti (VRO-22), Kashi Lalima and Arka Anamika

66 procured from different national institutes viz., All India Coordinated Research Project on
67 Vegetable Crops, ICAR-Indian Institute of Vegetable Research (IIVR), Varanasi, India and
68 ICAR-Indian Institute of Horticulture Research (IIHR), Bengaluru. This trial was performed
69 in randomize block design with three replications and germplasm evaluated at research farm
70 of the Department of Horticulture (Vegetable & Floriculture), Bihar Agricultural University,
71 Sabour, Bhagalpur (Bihar) during Rainy season of 2015-16. The soil of the plot was sandy
72 loam in texture having good fertility properly levelled and well drained. The rainfall of this
73 region is mainly distributed between middle of June to middle of October. The total rainfall
74 received during the crop period was 282.57 mm. The maximum temperature ranged from
75 23.9°C - 35°C during the plant growth and development phase. All the agronomic package
76 and practices were adopted to raise the healthy crop. Observations were recorded on 22
77 economically important traits viz., 13 quantitative traits i.e. Days to first flowering, days to
78 50% flowering, first flowering node, plant canopy width (cm), number of primary branches
79 per plant, plant height (cm), fruit length (cm), fruit diameter (cm), number of fruits per plant,
80 average fruit weight (g), number of seeds per pod, yield per plant (kg), fruit yield (q/ha) and 7
81 biochemical characters i.e. Chlorophyll a, Chlorophyll b, total Chlorophyll, Carotenoids,
82 anthocyanin, ascorbic acid, phenols, crude fibre and moisture. The genetic divergence among
83 the okra genotypes was estimated by using D^2 statistics [15]. All genotypes were clustered
84 into different groups accomplished by Tocher's method [16]. The average distance between
85 the cluster and within the cluster was calculated by the statistical procedure given by Singh
86 and Choudhary [17].

87 **Results and Discussion**

88 ***Grouping of genotypes into different cluster***

89 Based on Mahalanobis D^2 statistics, clustering of all 20 okra genotypes for 22
90 quantitative traits was done into five different groups (Table 1). The maximum number of
91 genotypes (10) were grouped into cluster I followed by cluster II (7 genotypes). The cluster
92 III, IV and V each contains only 1 genotype. The genotypes present in a single group are
93 genetically similar for most of the traits. However, they have different geographical area of
94 origin, for example cluster II consists of Arka Anamika (from IIHR, Bangalore) and Azad
95 Bhindi-1 (from CSAUA&T, Kanpur), in cluster I Pusa Sawani (from IARI, New Delhi) and
96 Kashi Mohini (from IIVR, Varanasi) which are having different source of origin. Hence,
97 geographical origins do not decide the grouping of genotypes in a group [18]. Oriyo [19] also
98 has given the same statement. Therefore, the reason behind occurrence of genetic diversity

Comment [HS2]: Replace with were

Comment [HS3]: Replace with were

99 among the genotypes might be some other factors like different genetic architecture of the
 100 population, heterogeneity, selection history, and genetic drift. The cause for greater diversity
 101 in genotypes may due to genetic drift and selection in different environments than the
 102 geographical distance [18]. It described that the geographically isolated genotypes in okra
 103 need not to show genetic diversity [20]. Shantha kumar and Salimath [21], Kumar and co-
 104 workers [22], Solankey and co-workers [23] also conveyed the similar results. Ramya and
 105 Senthilkumar [24] advocated dearth of clear relationship between geographical as well as
 106 genetic diversity in okra.

107 **Table 1:** Clustering pattern of diverse okra genotypes

Clusters	Number of Genotypes	Name of genotypes
I	10	VROB-159, SB-2, VRO-6, Pusa Sawani, Punjab-8, BO-13, Kashi Mohini, VRO-109, Kashi Satdhar, Pusa Makhmali
II	7	Arka Anamika, Azad Bhindi-1, IBS-02, 307-10-1, IC-14909, CO-3, VROB-178
III	1	VRO-106
IV	1	Kashi Kranti
V	1	Kashi Lalima

Comment [HS4]: Replace with and


Comment [HS5]: Replace with and

108
 109 The average D^2 values of intra and inter cluster are present in Table 2. The results
 110 showed that intra cluster distances varies from 221.525 (cluster II) to 624.030 (cluster V)
 111 with the highest inter cluster value between cluster II and V (8393.597). It was followed by
 112 cluster I and V (5708.096), cluster II and IV (3807.119), cluster III and V (3223.140), cluster
 113 II and III (1593.631).

114
 115 **Table 2:** Intra and inter cluster values (Euclidean² cluster distance) for 20 okra genotypes

Cluster	I	II	III	IV	V
I	446.443	793.737	758.924	2184.159	5708.096
II		221.525	1593.631	3807.119	8393.597
II			288.685	888.870	3223.140
IV				282.383	1443.396
V					624.030

116 **Fig. 1:** Dendrogram (Tocher's method) showing clustering pattern among 20 okra genotypes



132 The cluster mean of 20 genotypes is presented in Table 3. The table values showed
 133 that cluster IV having only one entry (Kashi Kranti) but having highest contribution in mean
 134 of carotenoid, moisture content (91.891) and yield per plant (253.247) mainly due to highest
 135 fruit length, fruits per plant and high average fruit weight and less mean performance for days
 136 to first flowering, first flowering node, plant canopy width, plant height. Cluster V also
 137 contain one entry i.e. Kashi Lalima (red pod colour) were found highest mean performance
 138 for days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, ascorbic acid and anthocyanin content
 139 mainly due to red colour of pod and less mean performance for chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b
 140 and total chlorophyll.

141

142 **Table 3:** Cluster means by using Tocher's method


Characters	Clusters				
	I	II	III	IV	V
Days to First Flowering	43.067	43.571	44.000	42.000	44.000
Days to 50 % Flowering	45.933	46.143	46.667	46.667	47.000
First Flowering Node	6.233	6.881	6.667	5.800	6.333
Plant Canopy Width (cm)	65.232	88.178	101.103	52.883	69.437
Primary Branches/ Plant	3.097	3.067	3.767	2.733	2.700
Plant Height (cm)	75.033	85.429	101.333	60.667	71.333
Fruit Length (cm)	9.718	9.896	9.767	10.833	10.533
Fruit Diameter (cm)	1.596	1.514	1.600	1.473	1.557
Fruits/ Plant	14.961	16.190	13.510	18.580	17.783
Average Fruit Weight (g)	11.821	10.489	9.303	13.627	10.723
Number of Seeds Per Pod	49.333	43.857	41.000	45.333	33.667
Yield/ Plant (g)	177.241	169.456	125.753	253.247	191.057
Fruit Yield (q/ha)	177.241	169.456	125.753	253.247	191.057
Chlorophyll a	0.452	1.631	4.841	3.847	0.000
Chlorophyll b	0.308	0.811	2.670	1.904	0.000
Total Chlorophyll	0.759	2.442	7.511	5.751	0.000
Carotenoids	1.518	1.833	2.558	5.705	0.742
Anthocyanin	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.142
Ascorbic Acid	12.385	14.171	13.847	18.367	19.671
Phenols	45.975	47.173	41.982	43.620	41.866
Crude Fiber (%)	0.290	0.265	0.230	0.210	0.127
Moisture (%)	86.958	87.978	87.419	91.891	81.851

143 **Contribution of traits toward genetic diversity**


144 The contribution percent towards genetic divergence showed that only nine yield and
 145 its contributing traits along with qualitative characters shares almost 100 % in genetic
 146 divergence (Fig. 2). Among these nine traits, highest contribution made by qualitative traits
 147 (carotenoids content, chlorophyll a and Anthocyanin content with percent share of 39%, 31%
 148 and 17% respectively) followed by quantitative traits such as plant canopy width (4%).
 149 Whereas, the traits like ascorbic acid, crude fibre (3%), phenols (2%), chlorophyll b and
 150 number of seeds per pod (1%) also having significant contribution towards divergence. It
 151 specifies that carotenoids content would be the important parameter for selecting diverse okra
 152 genotypes for nutritional purpose.

Comment [HS6]: Insert comma

153 **Fig. 2:** Graphical representation of proportionate contribution of studied major traits (in
 154 parentheses value) towards genetic divergence in okra



155
 156
 157 **Fig. 3:** Scattered diagram by using two dimensional ordinations of 20 okra genotypes based
 158 on PC (principal component) axis 1 and 2.
 159
 160



178 **Principal component analysis**

179 The principal component analysis (PCA) of 22 traits in 20 okra genotypes are
180 mentioned in Table 4 & Fig. 3. The data displays the Eigen values for five principal
181 components (PCs) viz., PC 1, PC 2, PC 3, PC 4 and PC 5 were 7.980, 4.007, 3.614, 1.302 and
182 0.964 respectively, which contributes about 81.19% of total genetic variation. These similar
183 results were also reported by Yonas and co-workers [25]. The maximum variations were
184 contributed by PC 1 and PC 2 of about 36.27% and 18.21% of total variations. The two-
185 dimensional ordinations of 20 okra genotypes on PC axis 1 and 2 (Fig. 3), revealed scattered
186 diagram of genotypic distribution pattern on axis. The scattered diagram showed that 81.21%
187 of cumulative total variations were contributed by first 5 principal components, collectively.
188 The parameters like days to first flowering (0.113), first flowering node (0.175), plant canopy
189 width (0.329), primary branches per plant (0.307), plant height (0.323), yield per plant
190 (0.285), chlorophyll a (0.168), total chlorophyll (0.129), carotenoids (0.066), anthocyanin
191 (0.034) and phenols content showed positive association with PC1 whereas traits such as fruit
192 length (-0.152), fruit diameter (-0.328), number of fruits per plant (-0.245), average fruit
193 weight (-0.225), number of seeds per pod (-0.321), chlorophyll b (-0.087), crude fibre (-
194 0.215), moisture (-0.039) and ascorbic acid (-0.272) content having negative association for
195 the same. The component PC2 displayed the positive association for days to first flowering
196 (0.119), days to 50% flowering (0.277), number of fruits per plant (0.183), yield per plant and
197 phenol content (0.332) while, plant height (-0.122), average fruit weight (-0.027),
198 anthocyanin content (-0.077), crude fibre (-0.066) have negative association. The third PC
199 has positive association with days to first flowering (0.174), days to 50% flowering (0.085),
200 number of fruits per plant (0.186) and phenol content (0.163) while, negative association with
201 primary branches per plant (-0.123), average fruit weight (-0.106) and crude fibre (-0.387)
202 content. PC IV positively associated with days to first flowering (0.616), phenols (0.068),
203 crude fibre (0.114) and moisture (0.288) content while, negative association with number of
204 fruits per plant (-0.106), yield per plant (-0.275) and ascorbic acid (-0.054) content. The chief
205 role in genetic divergence analysis demonstrated by positively associated characters for
206 different PCs. The above results are in conformity with the works done by Singh and co-
207 workers [26], Koundinya and co-workers [27], Kumar and co-workers [22] and Solankey and
208 co-workers [23].

Comment [HS7]: Replace with results, because you already analysed your data

Comment [HS8]: Insert comma

Comment [HS9]: Replace with were

209

210 **Table 4:** Principal component analysis for 22 traits in 20 okra genotypes

Variables/ Characters	Eigen Vector				
	PC I	PC II	PC III	PC IV	PC V
Eigene Value (Root)	7.980	4.007	3.614	1.302	0.964
% Var. Exp.	36.273	18.212	16.426	5.917	4.383
Cum. Var. Exp.	36.273	54.485	70.911	76.828	81.212
Days to First Flowering	0.113	0.119	0.174	0.616	0.276
Days to 50 % Flowering	-0.122	0.277	0.085	-0.094	0.225
First Flowering Node	0.175	-0.175	-0.108	-0.092	-0.466
Plant Canopy Width (cm)	0.329	-0.104	-0.051	-0.065	0.064
Primary Branches/ Plant	0.307	-0.132	-0.123	-0.067	0.146
Plant Height (cm)	0.323	-0.122	-0.077	-0.055	0.007
Fruit Lenth (cm)	-0.152	0.136	0.178	-0.141	-0.536
Fruit Diameter (cm)	-0.328	0.072	0.039	0.107	0.122
Fruits/ Plant	-0.245	0.183	0.186	-0.106	-0.076
Average Fruit Weight (g)	-0.225	-0.027	-0.106	-0.471	0.316
Number of Seeds Per Pod	-0.321	0.043	-0.050	0.067	0.199
Yield/ Plant (g)	0.285	0.004	-0.100	-0.275	0.362
Fruit Yield (q/ha)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Chlorophyll a	0.168	0.405	-0.147	0.009	-0.058
Chlorophyll b	-0.087	-0.454	0.102	-0.058	0.158
Total Chlorophyll	0.129	0.382	-0.147	0.054	0.016
Carotenoids	0.066	0.362	-0.229	-0.341	0.018
Anthocyanin	0.034	-0.077	0.498	-0.148	0.114
Ascorbic Acid	-0.272	-0.030	-0.312	-0.054	0.000
Phenols	0.171	0.332	0.163	0.068	0.049
Crude Fiber (%)	-0.215	-0.066	-0.387	0.114	0.058
Moisture (%)	-0.039	-0.086	-0.455	0.288	-0.062

211 **Conclusion**

212 Desirable genetic diversity and principal component analysis are most reliable
 213 selection parameters for electing promising traits viz., days to first picking, first flowering
 214 node and days to first flowering in okra. These traits should be given top priority in okra
 215 breeding programme for diverse parent selection for attempting heterotic cross combination
 216 and development of high yielding and YVMV resistant hybrids/varieties in okra.

217

Comment [HS10]: Replace with were

218 **References**

- 219 1. Chinatu LN, Okocha PI. The prospect of increased production of okra (*Abelmoschus*
220 *esculentus* (L.) Moench through heterosis. Journal of Sustainable Tropical
221 Agricultural Research. 2006;17: 66-71.
- 222 2. Berry SK, Kalara CL, Sehgal RC, Kulkarni SG, Sharma BR. Quality characteristics
223 of seeds of five okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) cultivars. Journal of Food Science
224 and Technol. 1988;25: 303-305.
- 225 3. Sharma BR. Genetic improvement of vegetable crops. Kalloo G, Bergh BO (eds.)
226 Pergamon Press Oxford and New York. 1993; pp.751-769.
- 227 4. Siemonsma JS, Kouame C. Vegetable. Plant Resource of Tropical Africa 2.PROTA
228 Foundation, Wageningen, Netherlands. 2004; pp.21-29
- 229 5. Solankey SS, Akhtar S, Kumar R, Verma RB, Sahajanand K. Seasonal response of
230 okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench) genotypes for okra yellow vein mosaic
231 virus incidence. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2014;13(12):1336-1342.
- 232 6. Kumar A, Verma RB, Solankey SS, Adarsh A. Appraisal of okra (*Abelmoschus*
233 *esculentus* L. Moench) genotypes for yield and yellow vein mosaic virus incidence.
234 Indian Phytopathology. 2015;68(2):201-206.
- 235 7. Lester RN, Jaeger PML, Bleijendaal SBHM, Holloway HLO. African eggplant-A
236 review of collecting in West Africa. Plant Genetic Resources Newsletter.
237 1990;81(82): 17-28.
- 238 8. Hammond S, Charrier A. Large variations of okra collected in Benin and Togo. Plant
239 Genetic Resources Newsletter. 1983;50: 52-56.
- 240 9. Coelho CMM, Coimbra JLM, Souza C, Bogo A, Guidolin AF. Genetic diversity in
241 common bean accessions. Ciencia-Rural. 2007;37(5): 1241-1247.
- 242 10. Ghai TR, Arora D, Jindal SK, Singh P. Assessment of genetic divergence based on
243 nutritional quality and agronomic traits in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.)
244 Moench). Journal of Genetics and Breeding. 2005;59(1): 1-6.
- 245 11. Kumari M, Chaudhury DN. Genetic divergence in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.)
246 Moench). Vegetable Science. 2006; 33(1):71-72.
- 247 12. Singh AK, Ahmed N, Narayan R, Narayan S. Genetic divergence studies in okra
248 under temperate conditions. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2007; 36(3/4):
249 348-351.
- 250 13. Bendale VW, Bhare SG, Mehta JL, Pethe UB, Kadam SR. Genetic variability and
251 correlation studies in okra. Orissa Journal of Horticulture. 2003;31(2): 1-4.
- 252 14. Mellingers JS. Measures of genetic similarity and genetic distance studies in genetics.
253 VII Univ. Tex. Pub. 1972;27:145-53.
- 254 15. Mahalanobis PC. On generalized distance in statistics. Proceedings of National
255 Institute of Science, India. 1936;2: 49-55.
- 256 16. Rao CR. The concept of distance and the problem of group constellation. In: Advance
257 Statistical Methods in Biometrical Research. John Willey and Sons. Inc. New York.
258 USA. 1952; pp. 351.
- 259 17. Singh RK, Chaudhary BD. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis.
260 Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India. 1985; pp.318.

- 261 18. Kiran P, Pathak M. Genetic diversity and its relationship with heterosis in okra.
262 Vegetable Science. 2012;39(2):140-143.
- 263 19. Oriyo OJ. Multivariate analysis and the choice of parents for hybridization in okra
264 [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 1987; 74:
265 361-363.
- 266 20. Sanwal SK, Singh B, Verma SS. Genetic divergence and its implication in breeding
267 of desired plant type in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). Ind J Agril Science. 2012;
268 82(3): 264-266.
- 269 21. Shanthakumar G, Salimath PM. Assessment of genetic diversity and identification of
270 early segregating lines in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). Ind J Agril Science. 2011;
271 81(4): 321-323.
- 272 22. Kumar A, Kumar R, Kumar A, Tyagi S, Solankey SS, Roy C, Verma RB. Studies
273 on the performance and morphological characterization of okra (*Abelmoschus*
274 *esculentus* L. Moench) genotypes for yield and yellow vein mosaic viruses.
275 International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science. 2017;6(7): 1102-
276 1106.
- 277 23. Solankey SS, Singh AK. Genetic evaluation and bi-plot analysis in okra hybrids for
278 yield, quality components and YVMV resistance. Journal of AgriSearch. 2018; 5(4):
279 240-246.
- 280 24. Ramya K, Senthil NK. Genetic divergence, correlation and path analysis in okra
281 [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Mad Agril J. 2009; 96(7):296-299.
- 282 25. Yonas M, Garedew W, Debela A. Multivariate analysis among okra [*Abelmoschus*
283 *esculentus* (L.) Moench] collection in South Western Ethiopia. Journal of Plant
284 Science. 2014; DOI: 10.3923/jps.2014.
- 285 26. Singh B, Singh RS, Sanwal SK. Multivariate analysis in relation to breeding system
286 in okra. Ind J Hort. 2012;69(4): 536-539.
- 287 27. Koundinya, AVV, Dhankhar SK, Yadav AC. Genetic variability and divergence in
288 okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). Ind J Agril Science. 2013; 83(6): 685-688.

289
290
291
292