SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_49865**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Antimicrobial proteins: key components of innate immunity**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Seeattached for mostly minor edits; make sure Pseudomonas does not appear to be a fungus**	
Minor REVISION comments	Some minor editing needed	
Optional/General comments	There are many more recent papers dealing with many of the antimicrobial peptides, including recent reviews. I think only two reference here was from after 2005 or so. The authors should rework the paper to get it up to date!	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* Yes: A 2019 review of something dynamic should include mostly references since other recent reviews that are available	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Clint Magill*
Department, University & Country	*Texas A&M University, USA*