SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Type of the Article	Review Article
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial proteins: key components of innate immunity
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_49865
Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		,
	The manuscript entitled, "Antimicrobial proteins: key components of innate immunity.	
	Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts for review article. The literature review	
	is OK. I approve of it for publication after minor revision as below.	
	1. The full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In	
	this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for	
	example as: Borago officinalis L. (not Borago officinalis). 2. Follow reference pattern according to journal	
	2. I ollow reference pattern according to journal	
Minor REVISION comments		
	The manuscript entitled, "Antimicrobial proteins: key components of innate immunity.	
	Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts for review article. The literature review	
	is OK. I approve of it for publication after minor revision as below.	
	1. The full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In	
	this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for	
	example as: Borago officinalis L. (not Borago officinalis).	
	2. Follow reference pattern according to journal	
Optional/General comments		
	1. The full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In	
	this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for	
	example as: Borago officinalis L. (not Borago officinalis). 2. Follow reference pattern according to journal	
	2.1 one in terestation about unity to journal	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R K Lal
Department, University & Country	India

Approved by: CEO Created by: EA Checked by: ME Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)