Deciphering Depositional Environments from Sedimentological Analysis of Core Samples to Decipher Depositional Environments: A Case Study of 'Valz-01' Well Niger-Delta Basin, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT: **A core is an unbroken cyclindrical section of the subsurface taken as a well is being drilled. It is a solid cylinder of rock about 4-5 inches in diameter acquired using special coring bits cutting cylinders of rock into barrels – 30, 60, 90ft and more. Geological description of conventional cores is the best dataset available as a foundation for understanding depositional settings; acquire reservoir parameters towards delivering sound static reservoir models.** Sedimentological studies of ninety seven feet (97ft) **length** of core, covering 1643 -1797.74m from 'Valz-01' well Offshore Eastern Niger Delta was carried out using twenty four (24) core slabbed samples with the aim of identifying the textural characterization of sediments from the various sectors of the lithologic unit and to determine the environment of deposition. **This investigation was carried out on cores from the 'Valz' Field Offshore Eastern Niger Delta, with the aim of improving the present understanding of sedimentological and depositional environment of the field.** Six lithofacies units were identified within the cored interval. They are: Muddy heterolith, laminated fine sandstone/siltstone, Fine sandstone/siltstone, Hummocky fine sandstone/siltstone, laminated shale sandy, and shale. Three depositional environments **were** identified**. They** include**s:** marine environment, transitional and continental environment. The marine environment is characterized by the deposits of shale (1644.27 - 1709) m, while the continental environment is characterized by **the presence of** sandstone (1779 - 1781) m. The transitional environment is characterized by **the** alternation of siltstone and shale (1643 – 1644.22 and 1781 – 1797.74) m. From the studies most of the analyzed samples are deposited in the delta influenced by fluvial and waves actions revealing beach sediments and turbiditi**i**es.

Keywords: **Cores,** Depositional Environments, Subsurface, Sedimentological analysis, Valz-01, Niger Delta

INTRODUCTION

The study area is situated in 'Valz' Field, in the w**W**estern part of the Niger Delta Basin. 'Valz-01' well is one of the wells drilled in 'Valz' Field located offshore in the Niger Delta Basin, Nigeria. The block where the well is located covers an area 916.6 km^2**sq km** in water depths ranging from 150m to 1000m (more than 98% in deep waters). Covered by 3D seismic mapping, this block has proven oil and gas in the shallow (Pliocene) part of the 'Valz' Field . The Field was discovered in 1995 by 'Valz-01' well. This was followed by 3 additional wells in the appraisal phase. The field contains more than 50 million barrels recoverable reserves of light 34.5 degree crude oil, and significant volumes of natural gas reserves. Identified crude oil reserves potentials for the adjoining blocks are estimated at some 2 billion barrels. Some

Comment [GT1]: References?

Comment [GT2]: Provide the reference exciting prospects have been identified in the deeper Miocene interval, and are aggressively being matured. ‘Valz’ Field is currently being developed, with first oil achieved in 2009, as reported by Wood **Mackenzie** (2019) asset report. Detailed core description and analysis were carried out on 29.59m (97ft) of core samples from ‘Valz-01’ located in ‘Valz’ Field, in the western part of the Niger Delta basin. Basic sedimentological description was conducted in the core shed. The objective of the study is to carry out sedimentological description of the cores to provide interpretation of the depositional environments through a systematic classification into lithofacies, lithofacies associations / genetic units. The lithofacies present in these cores have been identified on the basis of lithology, texture and sedimentary structures. These have been described and classified using standard SPDC reservoir lithofacies scheme as published in the SPDC Reservoir Geology Atlas.

Comment [GT3]: Not cited according to the Journal format

Comment [GT4]: Not properly cited

Fig.1: Location/ map of the study area (culled from Rock Lab Research Intern’s Folder, Shell, 2000.)

Comment [GT5]: Not well cited, inset on the map, the map of Africa and provide coordinates; list reference

Geology of the Niger Delta Basin

The Niger Delta is situated in the Gulf of Guinea (fig.2) and extends throughout the Niger Delta province as defined by Klett, *et al.* (1997). From the Eocene to the present, the delta has prograded southwestward, forming depobelt that represent the most active portion of the delta at each stage of its development (Doust and Omatsola, 1990). These Depobelts form one of the largest regressive deltas in the world with an area of some 3,000km^2 (Kulke,

Comment [GT6]: Keep to the format

1995), a sediment volume of 500,000km^3 (Hosper, 1965), and a sediment thickness of over 10km in the basin depocenter (Kaplan *et al*, 1994). The Niger Delta province contain only one identified petroleum system (Kulke, 1995, Ekweozor and Daukoru, 1994). This system is referred to as the Tertiary Niger Delta (Akata- Agbada) petroleum system. The maximum extent of the petroleum system coincides with the boundaries of the province. The delta formed at the site of a rift-triple junction related to the opening of the southern Atlantic starting in the late Jurassic and continuing into the Cretaceous. The delta proper began developing in the Eocene accumulating sediments that are now 10km thick. The primary source rock is the upper Akata Formation, the marine shale facies of the delta, with possible contribution from interbedded marine shale of the lowermost Agbada Formation, turbidite sand in the upper Akata Formation is a potential target on deep water offshore and possibly beneath currently producing interval onshore. The information on geology, tectonic sedimentary structures and paleogeography of the basin are adequately covered by previous workers[9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,4,17]. The Niger Delta Basin is part of the sedimentary basin of sSouthern Nigeria whose depositional history began in the early Cretaceous. The sediment fill of the basin was controlled by three main tectonic phases and by epirogenic movement which resulted in major transgressive and regressive circles (Murat 1972), as a result of these tectonic events, the axis of the main basin was displaced giving rise to three successive bases. Abakaliki Benue Trough (Albian- Lower Santonian), Anambra Basin (Upper -Lower Santonian) and the Niger Delta Basin (Lower Eocene-Recent). The Niger Delta basin contains Cenozoic to Recent deposits emplaced in high energy constructive deltaic environments.



Fig.2: Index map of Nigeria and Cameroon. Map of the Niger Delta showing Province outline (maximum petroleum system); bounding structural features; minimum petroleum system as defined by oil and gas field centre points (data from Petroconsultants,1996a); 200, 2000, 3000, and 4000 m bathymetric contours; and 2 and 4 km sediment thickness.

Lithostratigraphy of the Niger Delta Basin

The Niger-Delta consists of three broad formations (Short and Stauble, 1967). These are the continental top facies (Benin formation) which is the shallowest part of the sequence and consists predominantly of fresh water bearing continental sands and gravels, Agbada formation which underlies the Benin Formation and consists primarily of sand and shale and it is of fluviomarine origin (it is also the hydrocarbon window) and the Akata formation. The lithofacies of the Akata formation is composed of shales, clays and silts at the base of the known Delta sequence. They contain a few streaks of sand, possibly of turbidite origin.



Fig. 3: Stratigraphic column showing the three formations of the Niger Delta. Modified from Shannon and Naylor (1989) and Doust and Omatsola (1990).

Petroleum Occurrence in the Niger Delta Basin

Petroleum occurs throughout the Agbada Formation of the Niger Delta. However, several directional tends form an “oil-rich belt” having the largest field and lowest gas-oil ratio. The belt extends from the northwest offshore area to southeast offshore and along a number of north south trends in the area of Port Harcourt. This hydrocarbon distribution was originally attributed to timing of trap fFormation relative to petroleum migration (earlier landward structures trapped earlier migrating oil). Evamy *et al.* (1978) however showed that in many rollovers, movement on the structure building fault and result growth continued and was relayed progressively southward into the younger part of the section by successive crustal faults, concluding that there was no relation between growth along a fault and distribution of petroleum. Weber (1987) indicates that the oil-rich belt ("golden lane") coincides with a concentration of roll-over structures across Depobelts having short southern flanks and little paralic sequence to the south. Doust and Omatsola (1990) suggest that the distribution of petroleum is likely related to heterogeneity of source rock type (greater contribution from paralic sources in the west) and/or segregation due to re-migration. Haack *et al*. (1997) relate the deposited adjacent to the delta lobes and suggest that the accumulation of these source rocks was controlled by pre-Tertiary structural sub-basins related to basement structures.

Hydrocarbon source rock

There has been much discussion about the source rock for petroleum in the Niger Delta which has reflected in Ekweozor *et al*. (1979), Ekweozor and Okoye (1980), Lambert-Aikhionbare *et al*. (1984), Ryan (2007) and Doust and Omatsola (1990). Possibilities include variable contributions from the marine interbedded shale in the Agbada Formation, the marine Akata shale and the Cretaceous shale [4, 13, 16, 22, 24, 26, 27, 28]. The Agbada Formation has intervals that contain organic-carbon contents sufficient to be considered good source rocks. The intervals, however, rarely reach thickness sufficient to produce a world-class oil province and are immature in various parts of the Delta [15,27]. The Akata shale is present in large volumes beneath the Agbada Formation and is at least volumetrically sufficient to generate enough oil for a world class oil province such as the Niger Delta. In the case of the Ccretaceous shale, it has never been drilled beneath the delta due to its great depth; therefore, no data exist on its source-rock potential (Evamy *et al.,* 1978).

Comment [GT8]: Not listed

Comment [GT9]: Not the same as the listed

Reservoir rock

Petroleum in the Niger Delta is produced from sandstone and unconsolidated sands predominantly in the Agbada Formation. Characteristics of the reservoirs in the Agbada Formation are controlled by depositional environment and by depth of burial. Known reservoir rocks are Eocene to Pliocene in age, and are often stacked, ranging in thickness from less than 15 meters to 10% having greater than 45 meters thickness (Evamy *et al.,* 1978*)*. The thicker reservoir represents composite bodies of stacked channels (Ekweozor and Okoye, 1980). Based on reservoir geometry and quality, Kulke (1995) describes the most important reservoir types as point bars of distributary channels and coastal barrier bars intermittently cut by sand-filled channels. The primary Niger Delta reservoir was described in (Evamy *et al.,* 1978) as Miocene paralic sandstones with 40% porosity, 2 Darcys permeability, and a thickness of 100 meters. The lateral variation in reservoir thickness is strongly controlled by growth faults; the reservoir thickens towards the fault within the down-thrown block (Lambert-Aikhionbare *et al.,* 1984).

Traps and seals

Most known traps in Niger Delta fields are structural although stratigraphic traps are not uncommon. The structural traps developed during synsedimentary deformation of the Agbada paralic sequence (Evamy et al, 1978). Doust and Omatsola (1990) describe a variety of structural trapping elements, including those associated with simple rollover structures clay filled channels, structures with multiple growth faults, structures with antithetic faults, and collapsed crest structures.



Fig. 4: Examples of Niger Delta oil field structures and associated trap types**.** (Modified from Doust and Omatsola (1990) and Stacher (1995))**.**

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out on core samples recovered from the ‘Valz-01’ well. A total **number** of 24 core slabbed samples were selected from between 1643 meters and 1797.74 meters depth for the study.

Coring and Core Recovery

The coring of ‘Valz-01’ well was done using a fiber glass inner barrels coring assembly. The core recovery at acquisition was good and cores were generally in good state except for intervals that were unconsolidated resulting in little – moderate resin inversion. Generally, there was a moderate to good recovery (Table 1).

Table 1: ‘Valz-01’ well c**C**ore recovery

CORE RUN NO	DEPTH	MISSING INTERVAL
1	1643 -1650	1650 - 1707
2	1707.60 – 1711.85	1718 - 1727
3	1791 - 1797	None
5	1777 - 1791	1734 - 1767

Comment [GT10]: What is the unt?

Comment [GT11]: Unit?

General Description Procedures

Procedures used for describing the cores in this study are, in general, similar to those used in previous studies **published by the** (e.g.**;** Bryan, 1992a, b).

Comment [GT12]: Reference is not listed

Essential equipment and supporting data

The various equipment**s** and supporting data that **was** were used for this study **is** are given in T**t**able 2.

Table 2: Equipment**s** and supporting data used for sedimentological core description (references?)**.**

Comment [GT13]: Delete Table



Fig. 4: Example of grain sizes comparator by M.C. Powers, (1953)

Comment [GT14]: Delete figure,

Comment [GT15]: Cite

Geological Mapping – Description Attributes

The Sedimentological description of the cores for the study was done using the description attributes below.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.75", No bullets or numbering

- ✓ **Lithology**
  - o **Main**
    - ▪ **Sandstone, Shale, Coal, Heterolithics**
  - o **Subordinate**
    - ▪ **Silty, clayey**
  - o **Colour**
- ✓ **Sedimentology**
  - o **Textures, bioturbation, grain size, hydrocarbon testing, petrography, accesory minerals, Ichnofacies etc**

- ✓ **Sedimentary Structures**
- ✓ **Lithofacies**
- ✓ **Lithofacies Associations (Genetic Units)**

Textural description was done in the order below.

- ✓ **Grains Size**
  - **Coarse, medium or fine**
- ✓ **Sorting**
  - **Very well to Poor**
- ✓ **Roundness**
  - **Rounded to subrounded**
- ✓ **Grading**

Other features that were described are

- ✓ **Hydrocarbon**
  - **Oil staining, patches, smell**
- ✓ **Core Condition**
  - **Rubble zones, mud invasion**
- ✓ **Sample Location**
- ✓ **Cementation**
  - **Carbonate (test by Hcl), Silica, ferruginous**
- ✓ **Quantitative porosity and permeability**
  - **Tested by water**
  - o

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 2 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

Lithofacies

Lithofacies represents a body of sediment/rock with specific lithologic and organic characteristics like grains size, sorting and sedimentary structures imparted by a particular set of energy within an environment of deposition. Uniform physical characteristics mean uniform reservoir properties. Lithofacies constitute the smallest building block in reservoir geology because the unique physical characteristics of a particular lithofacies type (e.g. planer/parallel-bedded fine-medium sandstone) mean that they possess uniform reservoir properties. The SIEP (Davies *et al*. 1997) Lithofacies Scheme – SPDC Practice) was used for this study. It is based primarily on lithology, dominant grain size, dominant sedimentary structure and diagenetic modifications. (Table 2).

Table 3: Recognized SPDC Lithofacies Scheme

DOMINANT GRAIN SIZE	DOMINANT SEDIMENTARY STRUCTURE	SECONDARY SEDIMENTARY STRUCTURE
S (sandstone) **c** - coarse, **m** - medium, **f** - fine	**M** (massive)	**C** (cement-general)
>90% sand	**X** (cross-bedded)	**S** (siderite)
s (sandstone dominant)	**P** (planar, parallel bedded)	**/d** (soft sediment deformed - slumped, slide, micro-faulted)
H (heterolithic) >50% sand / >50% mud	**H** (hummocky - swaley cross-bedded)	
m (mudstone dominant)	**W** (wave rippled)	
>90% mud	**C** (current rippled)	
M (mudstone)	**B** (bioturbated)	
C (coal)	**R** (rooted)	
	F (fossiliferous)	
	O (organic-carbonaceous)	

EXAMPLE: **ScX** Sandstone, coarse, cross-stratified

The SIEP (Davies *et al*. 1997) Lithofacies Scheme – SPDC Practice)

Comment [GT16]: Summarize, cite and delete Table

Table 4: Niger Delta Lithofacies

Sandstones		Heterolithics
CROSS-BEDDED COARSE GRAVELLY SANDSTONE	(ScX)	HUMMOCKY CROSS-STRATIFIED HETEROLITH (HsH)
CROSS-BEDDED MEDIUM-FINE SANDSTONE	(SmX)	CURRENT RIPPLED SANDY HETEROLITH (HsC)
BIOTURBATED COARSE-GRAVELLY SANDSTONE	(ScB)	WAVE RIPPLED SANDY HETEROLITH (HsW)
BIOTURBATED MEDIUM-FINE SANDSTONE	(SmB)	BIOTURBATED SANDY HETEROLITH (HsB)
PLANAR / PARALLEL LAMINATED SANDSTONE	(SP)	ROOTED SANDY HETEROLITH (HsR)
HUMMOCKY/SWALEY CROSS-STRATIFIED SANDSTONE	(SH)	CURRENT RIPPLED MUDDY HETEROLITH (HmC)
CURRENT RIPPLED SANDSTONE	(SC)	WAVE RIPPLED MUDDY HETEROLITH (HmW)
WAVE RIPPLED SANDSTONE	(SW)	PARALLEL LAMINATED MUDDY HETEROLITH (HmP)
ROOTED SANDSTONE	(SR)	BIOTURBATED MUDDY HETEROLITH (HmB)
FOSSILIFEROUS SANDSTONE	(SF)	ROOTED MUDDY HETEROLITH (HmR)

Mudstones	Coal
MASSIVE-LAMINATED MUDSTONE (MP)	COAL (C)
MASSIVE-LAMINATED SIDERITIC MUDSTONE (MPs)	
CARBONACEOUS MUDSTONE (MO)	
ROOTED MOTTLED MUDSTONE (MR)	
FOSSILIFEROUS MUDSTONE (MF)	

Comment [GT17]: summarize, cite and delete Table

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Bold, Italic

Lithofacies associations

Lithofacies Associations represents groups of lithofacies within a Genetic unit with environmental significance, consistent range of reservoir properties, consistent external geometry consistent set of Log properties, and upscaling from micro to meso scale for reservoir modelling purposes. Genetic reservoir units are the result of a practical subdivision of a reservoir into components which have a consistent range of reservoir properties, a consistent external geometry, and a set of log responses (electrofacies) by which they can be consistently recognised. This up-scaling step from lithofacies to genetic reservoir unit (micro- to meso-scale) is a key stage in the reservoir geological modelling process. It provides the link which ensures that the reservoir property data measured from core is properly incorporated into the volume cells (voxels) used in reservoir modelling (SPDC reservoir Geology Atlas). Electrofacies refers to groups of rocks which have similar physical properties as measured by petrophysical logging tools. The SHELL SIEP'97 Lithofacies Association Scheme using Walter's Law was adopted equally during the grouping/stacking of the lithofacies. This was based largely on vertical stacking and existence of lithofacies having a deeping or shallowing upward pattern, utilizing Walter's Law. (reference?)

Comment [GT18]: Not properly cited

The various gGenetic units/lLithofacies aAssociation described by SPDC and used as a guide for this study is are highlighted below (Table 5). ;

1) Channel Sandstone

- **I. Fluvial Channel Sandstone**
- **II. Tidal Channel Sandstone**

2) Channel Heterolithic

- **I. Stratified Channel Heterolithic**
- **II. Bioturbated Channel Heterolithic**

3) Upper Shoreface Sandstone

4) Lower Shoreface Heterolithic

- **I. Proximal Lower Shoreface Heterolithic**
- **II. Distal Lower Shoreface Heterolithic**

5) Marine Shale

6) Coastal Plain Sandstone

7) Coastal Plain Heterolithic

8) Coastal Plain Shale

Table 5: Genetic units and their wireline log responses (reference?)

Comment [GT19]: Summarize, cite and delete Table

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Description of Plates

The core samples used for this study are shown and described in plates 1 and 2 below.

Comment [GT20]: Use Figs. According to the format

Plate 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Plate 1

1. Shale (1643 – 1643.65) m

2. Fine-Sands/Silts (1643.65 –1643.67) m

3. Shale (1643.67 – 1644.18) m

4. Laminated fine sands/Silts (1644.18 – 1644.27) m

5. Shale (1644.27 – 1645.15) m

6. Laminated Shale (1645.15 – 1645.22) m

7. Shale (1645.22 – 1646) m

8. Laminated Shale (1646 – 1648) m

9. Laminated Shale (1648 – 1650) m

10. Shale (1707.60 – 1708.30) m

11. Laminated fine sands/Silts (1708.30 – 1708.75) m

12. Laminated Shale (1708.75 – 1709) m

13. Fine-Sands/Silts (1711.40 – 1711.85) m

14. Muddy Heterolith (1778 – 1779) m

Comment [GT21]: Use the Journal format for writing captions

Plate 2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Plate 2

1. Hummocky, Cross bedded, fine sands/silts (1779 – 1780) m

2. Hummocky, Cross bedded, fine sands/silts (1780 – 1781) m

3. Fine-Sands/Silts (1781 – 1783) m

4. Fine-Sands/Silts (1783 – 1785) m

5. Fine-Sands/Silts (1785 – 1787) m

6. Fine-Sands/Silts (1787 – 1789) m

7. Laminated fine sands/Silts (1789 – 1791) m

8. Fine-Sands/Silts (1791 – 1792) m

9. Fine-Sands/Silts (1792 – 1794) m

10. Laminated fine sands/Silts (1794 – 1796) m

11. Fine-Sands/Silts (1796 – 1797. 74) m

Comment [GT22]: Write captions according to the format

Lithofacies Description

A detailed description of the intervals in the entire length of core used for this study is given in table 6. The following lithofacies units were identified and described using the SIEP (Davies *et al*. 1997) Lithofacies Scheme – SPDC Practice)

Laminated Fine Sandstone/Siltstone (SfL)

This lithofacies unit occurs over the interval range (1644.18 – 1644.27, 1708.30 – 1708.75, 1789 – 1791 and 1794 – 1796) m. This unit is 5m thick. It is made up of laminated fine sands/silts, light brown in colour, well sorted, sub-rounded and friable with horizontal laminations. Mica occurs as an accessory mineral. The lithofacies unit inferred a transitional condition of sedimentation.

Comment [GT23]: British English

Muddy Heterolith (Hm)

This lithofacies unit occurs only in one interval (1778 – 1779) m and is about 1m thick. Grain size ranges from fine to very fine with fine as the dominant grain size. It is well sorted, sub-angular to sub-rounded. It is characterized by dark grey shales and silt with no evidence of bioturbation. It inferred a transitional condition of deposition.

Fine Sandstone/Siltstone (Sf)

This unit occurs over the interval range (1645.65 – 1645.67, 1711.40 – 1711.85, 1781 – 1783, 1783 – 1785, 1785 – 1787, 1787 - 1789, 1791 – 1792, 1792 – 1794 and 1796 – 1797.74) m. It is 14.21m thick. Color is white to light brown, fine-grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, moderately to well sorted, with presence of slight mica flakes. It inferred a transitional condition of deposition.

Hummocky Fine Sandstone/Siltstone (SfH)

This unit occurs over the interval range (1779 – 1780 and 1780 -1781) m. It is 2m thick. It is characterized by an alternation of light brown silt and blocky shale. Sedimentary structures present are lamination, beddings, cross beddings, and hummocky with the presence of slightly micaceous minerals. It inferred a continental condition of deposition.

Laminated Shale (ShL)

This lithofacies occurs over the interval range (1645.15 – 1645.22, 1646 - 1648, 1648 – 1650 and 1708.75 – 1709) m which is 4.32m thick. It is light to dark brown in colour, blocky shale, fine-grained, moderately hard, laminated beddings, lenticular, hummocky, and presence of slightly ferruginous and mica minerals. It inferred a marine condition of deposition.

Shale (Sh)

This unit occurs over the interval range (1643 -1643.65, 1643.67- 1644.18, 1644.27 – 1645.15, and 1645.22 – 1646) m. This unit is 2.28m thick. It is light to dark brown in colour, blocky shale, moderately hard with slight amount of mica flakes. It inferred a marine to transitional condition of deposition.



Fig. 5 : Pie-cChart sShowing the dDistribution of 'Valz-01' well Lithofacies.

Table 6: Summary of **the** lLithofacies and sSedimentological dDescription of 'Valz-01' well

Depth	Lithology	Sed. St	Diagnostic	Lithofacies	Facies Codes	Description	Depositional Environment
			CORE 1				
1643 – 1643.65	Shale			Shale	Sh	Light brown, blocky shale, mod.	Transitional

Comment [GT24]: British English

Comment [GT25]: Write the abbreviations of lithofacies in full. Send figure after Table 6

						Hard	
1643.65 – 1643.67	Silt			Fine-Sands/Silts	Sf	Light brown silt	
1643.67 – 1644.18	Shale			Shale	Sh	Dark grey, blocky shale, mod hard	
1644.18 – 1644.27	Silt	laminated		Laminated fine sands/Silts	SfL	Very light brown silt	
1644.27 – 1645.15	Shale			Shale	Sh	Brown shale, blocky	
1645.15 – 1645.22	Silt	laminated	Slight mica flakes	Laminated Shale	ShL	Light brown	
1645.22 – 1646	Shale		Presence of slight mica flakes	Shale	Sh	Dark brown, blocky hard shales	Marine
1646 – 1648	Shale	Hummocky, lenticular, laminated		Laminated Shale	ShL	Light brown, blocky shales, mod hard, with silt interbeds	
1648 – 1650	Shale	laminated	Slight mica flakes	Laminated Shale	ShL	Dark brown, blocky shales	
CORE 2							
1707.60	Shale			Shale		Light	Marine

– 1708.30						brown shale, blocky and mod hard	
1708.30 – 1708.75	Silt	laminated		Laminated fine sands/Silts	SfL	Light brown silt	
1708.75 – 1709	Shale	laminated	Slightly ferruginous	Laminated Shale	ShL	Light brown blocky shale, mod hard	
1711.40 – 1711.85	Sandstone			Fine-Sands/Silts	Sf	Fine grained sst, whitish, mod sorted, sub-angular to sub-rounded	Transitional
CORE 5							
1778 – 1779	Shaly silt			Muddy Heterolith	Hm	Dark grey shales & silt	Transitional
1779 – 1780	Shale	laminated, cross-bedded, hummocky	Slightly micaceous	Hummocky, Cross bedded, fine sands/silts	SfH	Light brown, blocky shale	Continental
1780 – 1781	Silt	Lam, cross-bedded, hummocky	Slightly micaceous	Hummocky, Cross bedded, fine sands/silts	SfH	Light brown silt	
1781 – 1783	Silt		Slightly micaceous	Fine-Sands/Silts	Sf	Light brown silt	Transitional
1783 –	Silt			Fine-Sands/Silts	Sf	Light brown silt	

1785							
1787 – 1789	Silt		Slightly micaceous	Fine-Sands/Silts	Sf	Light brown silt	
1789 – 1791	Silt	beddings		Laminated fine sands/Silts	SfL	Light brown silt	
CORE 3							
1791 – 1792	Silt		Slightly micaceous	Fine-Sands/Silts	Sf	Light brown silt	
1792 – 1794	Silt		Slightly micaceous	Fine-Sands/Silts	Sf	Light brown silt	
1794 – 1796	Silt	laminated		Laminated fine sands/Silts	SfL	Light brown silt	
1796 – 1797.74	Silt			Fine-Sands/Silts	Sf	Light brown silt	

Figure 6: Lithology Log of 'Valz-01' well




1758
1760
1762
1764
1766
1768
1770
1772
1774
1776
1778
1780
1782
1784
1786
1788
1790
1792
1794
1796
1798
Very light grey, moderately hard,very fine sandstone with
Med-dark grey shale, moderately hard, subfissile-fissile, laminated
Sh: medgrey,modhard silt-lamination,subfissile-fissile
Light brown - brown silt, exhibiting laminae and slightly micaceous
Lamination
Mica
ST HL
SVFM
SFB
HFST
Core 5
Core 3
Cla
Sil
V.f
Fine
Med
Coarse
V.C
Pebb
Cobb
LEGEND
Sandstone
coal
Clay
Undiffe
Reactivation surfaces
Herring
Clayey sand
clayst
Silt
lignite
mudst
Clay
Planar
Sandy heterolith
Tidal
Lenticular
Sh
congl
clayey silt
Sandy Clay
Thallassonodes
Trough
No
Horizontal
wave cross
Inverse
Normal
Flaser
Undifferentiated Burrows
Ferruginised
Lateritized
Weathered
Mottled
Ophiomorpha
Planolites

CONCLUSION

A section of the cored interval of 'Valz-01' well was studied between (1643 – 1797) m_. **This was** based on virtual observations of the characteristic features of the interval to include bedding features, sedimentary structures (their type, characteristics and preservation), reservoir parameters and hydrocarbon features. The section showed a number of heterolithic characteristics, ranging from coarse grained sandstone to mudstone, shales, and siltstone. From the study, most of the analyzed samples were deposited in the delta influenced by fluvial and waves actions revealing beach sediments and turbidities which are in line with textural characteristics.

Comment [GT26]: delete

Comment [GT27]: summarise the features that conform to these environments f deposition

REFERENCES

Comment [GT28]: List references according to the Journal format

1. Wood M, *Online Asset Report* **2019**
2. SPDC Reservoir Geology Atlas.
3. Klett, TR, Ahlbrandt, TS, Schmoker, JW, Dolton, JL, **1997**, Ranking of the world's oil and gas provinces by known petroleum volumes: U.S. Geological Survey Open-file Report-97-463, CD-ROM.
4. Doust, H, Omatsola, E, **1990**, Niger Delta, *in*, Edwards, J. D., and Santogrossi, P.A., eds., Divergent/passive Margin Basins, AAPG Memoir 48: Tulsa, American Association of Petroleum Geologists, p. 239-248.
5. Kulke, H, **1995**, Nigeria, *in*, Kulke, H., ed., Regional Petroleum Geology of the World. Part II: Africa, America, Australia and Antarctica: Berlin, Gebrüder Borntraeger, p. 143-172.
6. Hospers, J, **1965**, Gravity field and structure of the Niger Delta, Nigeria, West Africa: Geological Society of American Bulletin, v. 76, p. 407-422.
7. Kaplan, A, Lusser, CU, Norton, IO, **1994**, Tectonic map of the world, panel 10: Tulsa, American Association of Petroleum Geologists, scale 1:10,000,000.
8. Ekweozor, CM, and Daukoru, EM, **1994,** Northern delta depobelt portion of the Akata-Agbada_(!) petroleum system, Niger Delta, Nigeria, *in*, Magoon, L.B., and Dow, W.G., eds., The Petroleum System--From Source to Trap, AAPG Memoir 60: Tulsa, American Association of Petroleum Geologists, p. 599-614.

Comment [GT29]: Not cited

Comment [GT30]:

Comment [GT31]: ??

9. Short, KC, Stauble, AJ. **1967** Outline of Geology of Niger Delta. AAPG Bulletin, 51, 761-779.

10. Weber, KJ, **1971,** Sedimentological aspects of oil fields in the Niger Delta. Geol. M. Mijnb Pp.50, 559-576.

11. Burke, K, Dessauvagie, TE, Whiteman, A, 1971. Opening of the Gulf of Guinea and geological history of the Benue depression and Niger Delta. Nature, Phys. Sci. 233, 51-55.

12. Burke, K, Dessauvagie, TE, Whiteman, A, **1972**. Geological history of the Benue valley and adjacent areas. *In:* Dessauvagie, T.E and Whiteman, A (eds). *African Geology*. University of Ibadan press. Pp. 187-218.

13. Weber, KJ, Daukoru, EM, **1975**, Petroleum geology of the Niger Delta: Proceedings of the Ninth World Petroleum Congress, volume 2, Geology: London, Applied Science Publishers, Ltd., p. 210-221.

14. Whiteman, A, **1982,** Nigeria: Its Petroleum Geology, Resources and Potential: London, Graham and Trotman, 394 p.

15. Evamy, BD, Haremboure, J, Kamerling, P, Knaap, WA, Molloy, FA, Rowlands, PH, **1978**, Hydrocarbon habitat of Tertiary Niger Delta: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 62, p. 277-298.

16. Ekweozor, CM, Okoye, NV, **1980,** Petroleum source-bed evaluation of Tertiary Niger Delta: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 64, p 1251-1259.

17. Ekweozor, CM, Daukoru, EM, **1984**, Petroleum source bed evaluation of Tertiary Niger Delta--reply: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 68, p. 390-394.

18. Murat, RC, **1972,** Stratigraphy and Paleogeography of the Cretaceous and Lower Tertiary of Southern Nigeria. In T. F. J. Dessauvagie and Whiteman (Eds), African Geology, Ibadan University Press, Nigeria. 251-266.

19. Petroconsultants**, 1996a,** Petroleum exploration and production database: Houston, Texas, Petroconsultants, Inc., [database available from Petroconsultants, Inc., P.O. Box 740619, Houston, TX 77274-0619].

20. Shannon, PM, Naylor N, **1989**, Petroleum Basin Studies: London, Graham and Trotman Limited, p 153-169

Comment [GT32]: Not properly cited

Comment [GT33]: Not properly cited

Comment [GT34]: Not properly cited

Comment [GT35]: Not properly cited

21. Weber, KJ, **1987**, Hydrocarbon distribution patterns in Nigerian growth fault structures controlled by structural style and stratigraphy: Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, v. 1, p. 91-104.

22. Haack, RC, Sundararaman, P, Dahl, J, **1997,** Niger Delta petroleum System, *in*, Extended Abstracts, AAPG/ABGP Hedberg Research Symposium, Petroleum Systems of the South Atlantic Margin, November 16-19, 1997, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

23. Ekweozor, CM, Okogun, JI, Ekong, DEU, Maxwell JR, **1979**, Preliminary organic geochemical studies of samples from the Niger Delta, Nigeria: Part 1, analysis of crude oils for triterpanes: Chemical Geology, v 27, p. 11-28.

24. Lambert-Aikhionbare, DO, Alofe, KB, Adoh, FO, **1984,** Evolution of oil-generative window and oil and gas occurrence in Tertiary Niger Delta Basin: American Association of Petroleum Geologists, v. 68, p. 1744-1751.

25. Ryan Neal, JO**, 2007**, Seismic and well log attribute analysis of the Jurassic Entrada/curtis interval within the north hill creek 3-D Seismic survey, Uinta Basin, Utah, a case history. *An unpublished Thesis*, Brigham Young University.

26. Ejedawe, JE, **1979.** Patterns of incidence of oil reserves in Niger Delta Basin. *American Assoc. Petrol. Geologists*, 65: 1574-1585.

27. Stacher, P, **1995,** Present understanding of the Niger Delta hydrocarbon habitat, *in*, Oti, MN, Postma, G, eds., Geology of Deltas: Rotterdam, AA, Balkema, p. 257-267.

28. Frost, BR, **1997.** A Cretaceous Niger Delta Petroleum System. In: Extended Abstracts, *AAPG/ABGPHedberg Research Symposium, Petroleum Systems of the South Atlantic Margin*, November 16-19, 1997, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

29. Kaharoeddin, FA, MD, Russell, SD, Weiterman, CR, Cooper, TH, Lang, DR, Clark, JM, Covington, JV, Firth, JL, Applegate, S, Knüttel, JR, Breza. **1988.** The United States Antarctic Research Prograiii in the western Ross Sea, 1979-1980: The sediment descriptions (Contribution 53). Tallahassee: Florida State University, Department of Geology, Sedimentology Research Laboratory.

30. Bryan, JR, (ed.), **1992a**, Description of sediments recovered by the USCGC Glacier, USARP Operation Deep Freeze 1986, Bransfield Strait, Gerlache Strait, Marguerite Bay. Florida State University Antarctic Marine Geology Research Facility Sedimentology Research Laboratory Contribution 55.

31. Powers, MC, **1953**, A new roundness scale for sedimentary particles: Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, 23:117-119.

Comment [GT36]: Name is not the same as that cited

Comment [GT37]: Not well cited

Comment [GT38]: Not well cited

Comment [GT39]: Not cited

32. Davies AH, Onuigbo LSD, Cruts HMA, Meyneken C, Blaauw M, **1997.** SPDC reservoir geology Atlas. SIEP 97-5046, CD-ROM

.