



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_50046</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>METEOROLOGICAL MODELS FOR DETERMINATION OF SURFACE RADIO REFRACTIVITY OVER NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The abstract should read as a summary. The first paragraph can be removed (reads like an introduction) All variables in italics. Reference to figures, equations and tables in capitals, as in "See Figure 2" l.92: absolute temperature T (K) l. 244: Rh is used for relative humidity while on l.97 H is used Suggestion: From all figures "line of best fit" can be removed, since it is obvious from the context.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This manuscript seems to be OK. Some minor comments.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Peter Stallinga</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of the Algarve, Portugal</b>