



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_45096
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF THE ROOT AND STEM BARK EXTRACT OF FICUS SYCOMORUS ON SOME SELECTED MICRO-ORGANISMS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'Jack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title of this paper "PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND ANTI MICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF THE ROOT 2 AND STEM BARK EXTRACT OF FICUS SYCOMORUS ON SOME SELECTED MICRO-ORGANISMS" is too long.</p> <p>THE AUTHOR DOESNOT FOLLOW THE FORMAT OF THE JOURNAL Ficus Sycomorus that were collected from Sukur Kingdom in Madagali Local Government Area, Adamawa State, Nigeria was identified and authenticated by a Botanist from the Department of Biological Sciences. Kindly mentioned the authenticated number.</p> <p>IN SAMPLE PREPARATION THERE IS THE SENTENSE STRUCTURE MISTAKES "The root or Stem-barks" THE AUTHOR HAS USED BOTH SO PLEASE CHANGE "OR"</p> <p>THERE IS NO DETAILS WAS GIVEN ABOUT HOW MUCH QUANTITY HAS BEEN TAKEN AND HOW MUCH GOT. PERCENTAGE YIELD IS ABSENT. THE KEYS ABOUT THE EXTRACT CAN BE WRITTEN IN SHORT FORMAT WHY GLYCOSIDE IS ABSENT IN AQUEOUS EXTRACT JUSTIFY KINDLY ARRANGE THE TABLE</p> <p>WHY Table 7 AND 8: The Result of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of both aqueous and ethanol</p> <p>258 extracts of root and stem bark of Ficus sycomorus IS MENTIONED AS POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE?</p> <p>PLEASE MENTIONED HOW MUCH?</p> <p>IN THE DISCUSSION, IS THERE SIMILAR ACTIVITIES REPORTED USING SAME PLANT? THE DISCUSSION NEED TO BE MORE ELABORATED.</p> <p>KINDLY ADD RESULT THAT USING SAME PLANT AND SAME PART.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Shahzad Aslam
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Perlis, Malaysia