



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_45096
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF THE ROOT AND STEM BARK EXTRACT OF FICUS SYCOMORUS ON SOME SELECTED MICRO-ORGANISMS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study was performed following old procedures. Assistance of modern machineries and procedures are not taken. The article is not written properly. The author failed to describe the works properly, particularly at the Materials and Method section. SO, THE ARTICLE REQUIRE THOROUGH REVISION AND RE-WRITING AS DIRECTED IN THE MANUSCRIPT.	
Minor REVISION comments	Many grammatical mistakes are there. These are to be corrected. Some are marked in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shibabrata Pattanayak
Department, University & Country	India