



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_49728
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro study of anti-Salmonella activities of Boerhaavia diffusa Leaf extract
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title - <i>In vitro</i> study of anti-Salmonella activities of <i>Boerhaavia diffusa</i> Leaf extract (Give general name for the plant)</p> <p>Abstract – make as aim, location, duration, design, result and interpretation of study P to be given as ($P=.05$)</p> <p>Introduction - all the references in this to be put in square bracket sequentially</p> <p>Materials and methods - all the references in this to be put in square bracket sequentially; leave the space before mentioning the unit</p> <p>Results –give the image or figure of the plant leaf and extract; combine discussion in this section only; leave the space before mentioning the unit; in fig. 1 and 2, give the values on the bars</p> <p>Discussion – combine with result; all the references in this to be put in square bracket sequentially; leave the space before mentioning the unit</p> <p>References – sequentially arrange the reference with numbers from introduction, methods, discussion</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Make necessary corrections	
Optional/General comments	Good study	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Prabha R</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Karnataka Veterinary, Animal and Fisheries Sciences University, India</i>