

Original Research Article

Effect of zinc on growth, yield and zinc use efficiency of rice in rice–wheat cropping system

Abstract:

A four year rice-wheat cropping (2012-15) was developed on Nursery Jhilli area of Rajendra Agricultural University, Pusa, Bihar, where zinc applied in four different doses (2.5, 5.0, 7.5 and 10.0 kg ha⁻¹) and 3 modes of application under rice – wheat cropping system, to investigate the effect of different doses of Zn and modes of application on rice growth parameters, yield and Zn use efficiency. Results revealed that among the different growth and yield attributing characteristics of rice the highest of number of tillers m⁻², number of productive tillers m⁻² and number of filled grain panicle⁻¹ were observed in 7.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ at alternate year applied plot. Root weight were highest in 7.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ every year applied plot. The grain and straw yield data clearly indicated that application of zinc significantly increased the both yields. The highest grain yield was found in 5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ applied every year (40.20 q ha⁻¹) and 7.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ in initial year application was the lowest dose for optimum rice grain yield in the fourth year. Highest Agronomic Efficiency was found in 7.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (101.33 kg grain/ kg Zn) and the order of apparent Zn recovery efficiency was in the order of, 2.5 kg Zn, alternate year (3.72%) > 2.5 kg Zn, initial year (3.70%) > 7.5 kg Zn, every year (3.61%) > 2.5 kg Zn, every year (3.58%).

Keywords: Zinc application, Growth attributes, Agronomic Efficiency, Zinc recovery efficiency, Rice-wheat cropping system

Introduction:

Zinc, an essential mineral for both plants and humans, is involved in a number of physiological and biochemical processes in living organisms. However, it is deficient in most of the world's agricultural soils, resulting in significant yield losses (Alloway, 2009). Zinc deficiency in crop plants reduces not only grain yield but also the nutritional quality of the grain. The importance of micronutrients in crop production has increased in recent years because of intensive cultivation, use of high-yielding cultivars, use of lime in acidic soils, increased use of high analysis fertilizers with low amounts of micronutrients and decreased use of organic manures. A global study by the FAO showed that about 30% of the cultivated soils of the world are Zn deficient (Sillanpaa,

Comment [SON1]: The story is not complete here. Please complete by a concluding sentence.

1982). Additionally, about 50% of the soils used worldwide for cereal production contain low levels of plant available Zn (Graham *et al.*, 1992; Welch, 1993). The calcareous soil of Bihar occupying a sizable area are deficient in Zinc to the extent of 80-90 per cent of the tested soil samples (Sakalet *al.*, 1985) and symptoms of zinc deficiency are frequently observed on many crops (Sakalet *al.*, 1979). The normal concentration of this element is 25 to 150 mg kg⁻¹ in plants. Deficiencies of Zn are usually associated with concentrations of less than 20 mg kg⁻¹ and toxicities will occur when the Zn leaf concentration exceeds 400 mg L⁻¹ (Dobermann and Fairhurst, 2000). Cultivars differ in their ability to take up Zn, which may be caused by differences in Zinc translocation and utilization, differential accumulation of nutrients that interact with Zn and differences in plant roots to exploit for soil Zn (Tisdale *et al.*, 1993). Field crops are known to take up only 0.3 to 3.5% of the annually applied fertilizer Zn. Consequently, fertilizer Zn accumulates in the soil. Because of its low mobility in the soil, positive effect of applied Zn on subsequent crops in the rotation may last over variable periods (Brennan, 2001). Fertilizer Zn recommendations for the cropping system as a whole are yet not available. The availability of fertilizer Zn to plants decreases rapidly after application to soil due to surface adsorption, cation exchange, chelation, and precipitation (Lu *et al.*, 2005; Jalali and Khanlari, 2008). Most of the fertilizer Zn applied to a calcareous soil at wheat sowing was bound to soil minerals at harvest. Only a small fraction of the fertilizer Zn was in the soil solution or bound to organic matter, carbonates, or Mn oxides (Wei *et al.*, 2005). With decreasing soil health and consideration of high yielding varieties in rice-wheat system and also unpredictable climatic condition there is a need to understand the requirement of dose and frequency of Zn application in Zn deficiency prone upland calcareous soil under rice-wheat cropping system. Therefore, in an ongoing experiment, after completion of four years of zinc applications in different doses and modes under rice – wheat cropping system to check its effect on growth parameters and yield of rice.

Comment [SON2]: Please mention why you selected rice-wheat cropping systems.

Comment [SON3]: Redaction problems, please use correct syntax.

Materials and methods:

The present investigation was undertaken in rice cropping, a four year trial (2012-15) of rice-wheat cropping system having different combinations of three modes of Zn application (in the first year, alternate year and every year) with four doses. The site is located at Nursery Jhilli area of Rajendra Agricultural University, Pusa, Bihar, having 25° 94'N latitude, 85° 67' E longitude and an altitude of 52.00 meter above mean sea level. The climate is sub-tropical having three

distinct seasons i.e. rainy (mid June to September), winter (October-February) and summer (March to mid June). It receives a mean annual rainfall of 1300 mm of which approximately 80 per cent is received during the rainy season. The mean monthly maximum and minimum temperature fluctuate between 23.8°C to 36.8°C and 9.1 °C to 27.2°C, respectively. Total rainfall received during the period was 1290 mm. A total of 13(3 modes of application × 4 doses + Zn control) treatments with 3 replications were used. Randomized block design was used. Plot size maintained 4 × 2.5m. Puddled transplanted rice (var. Rajshree) was taken with the recommended dose of N:P₂O₅:K₂O at 120:60:40 in the form of urea, diammonium phosphate (DAP) and muriate of potash (MOP). Fifty per cent of N, full doses of P₂O₅ and K₂O were applied as basal and the rest fifty per cent of N was applied in two splits at 30 days interval. Zn was applied as ZnSO₄ after puddling in every year plot at four doses (2.5, 5.0, 7.5 and 10.0 kg ha⁻¹). Rice was taken in alternate wetting and drying condition and the soil moisture was kept at field capacity during the entire period. The irrigation was stopped 10 days before harvesting and the crop was harvested and grain yield was recorded at 14% moisture. The different growth and yield attributes of rice were recorded.

Agronomic efficiency was calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Agronomic efficiency} = \frac{\text{Grain yield}_{\text{Zn fertilized}} - \text{Grain yield}_{\text{control}}}{\text{Zn applied}} \times 100$$

81
82

83 Results:

84 Rice growth and yield attributes:

85 Among the rice growth and yield attributes, number of tillers m⁻², productive tillers m⁻² and filled
86 and unfilled grains panicle⁻¹, test weight and root weight were influenced significantly by Zn
87 treatments (Table-1). Number of tillers per m⁻² of rice varied from 312 in the control to 330 in
88 7.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ applied at alternate year 7.5 kg ha⁻¹ at alternate years was similar to 10.0 kg ha⁻¹ in
89 initial year and 5.0 to 10.0 kg ha⁻¹ in alternate and every year Zn applications. It increased
90 significantly over control at 5.0 and 7.5 kg ha⁻¹ in every year and from 5.0 to 10.0 kg ha⁻¹ in
91 alternate and initial year applications. Number of productive tillers m⁻² varied from 253 in control
92 to 268 m⁻² in 7.5 kg ha⁻¹ Zn plots applied at alternate years. The highest value was similar to that
93 of 5.0 kg ha⁻¹ at every year and 5 and 10.0 kg ha⁻¹ at alternate year applications. It increased

Comment [SON4]: This information is unnecessary unless you used these in your data interpretation.

Comment [SON5]: In which unit

significantly from 5.0 and 7.5 kg ha⁻¹ in every year, 5.0 to 10.0 kg ha⁻¹ in alternate year and from 5.0 to 10.0 kg ha⁻¹ in initial year applications of Zn as compared to control. Number of filled grains per panicle varied from 72 in control to 89 in 7.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ at alternate year plot which was similar to 5.0 kg ha⁻¹ at every and 10.0 kg ha⁻¹ at alternate year applied plots. Unfilled grains per panicle were similar in all the treatments. Root weight was significantly higher in 2.5 to 10.0 kg Zn applied in alternate and every year as compared to control. It varied from 168.67 g m⁻² in control to 219.33 g m⁻² in 7.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ in every year applied plot. However, 5.0 to 10.0 kg ha⁻¹ in alternate year and 2.5 to 10.0 kg Zn⁻¹ in every year applications had similar root weights. Test weight varied from 19.09 g in control to 21.95 g in 7.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ every year applied plot. Most of the Zn treated and control plots had similar test weight. The highest value was found in 7.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ plot applied every year (21.95 g) which was similar to 10 kg ha⁻¹ alternate year plot.

Grain and straw yields of rice:

Rice grain yields varied from 30.80 in the control to 40.20 q ha⁻¹ in 5.0 kg Zn ha⁻¹ at every year applied plot (Table-1). The highest yield was at par with the other three doses of every year applications, more than 5.0 kg ha⁻¹ in alternate year applications and more than 7.5 kg dose in initial year application. Straw yield varied from 38.53 in the Zn control to 52.54 q ha⁻¹ in 10.0 kg ha⁻¹ alternate year applied plot. The highest yield of straw was at par with all the doses of every year and alternate year applications and with 10.0 kg ha⁻¹ of initial year application. The response of Zn applications varied from 2.9 to 30.5 per cent to grain yield while 11.3 to 43.5 per cent to straw yield. Thus initial application of 7.5 kg ha⁻¹ and 5.0 kg ha⁻¹ at alternate years may be the optimum combinations of dose and mode of application of Zn for rice yield.

Zinc use efficiency:

Zinc use efficiency was calculated in term of Agronomic Efficiency and Apparent Recovery Efficiency. Agronomic efficiency varied from 18.17 kg grain per kg Zn in 10.0 kg ha⁻¹ at every year to 101.33 kg grain per kg Zn in 7.5 kg ha⁻¹ at initial year applications (Fig. 1). In the initial year application highest agronomic efficiency was found in 7.5 kg ha⁻¹ while in alternate and every year applications highest efficiencies were found in 2.5 kg ha⁻¹ treatments. Apparent Zn recovery efficiency varied 1.07% in 10.0 kg Zn ha⁻¹ applied at every year to 3.72 % in 2.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ applied at alternate year (Fig. 2). The order of apparent Zn recovery efficiency was 2.5 kg Zn, alternate year (3.72%) > 2.5 kg Zn, initial year (3.70%) > 7.5 kg Zn, every year (3.61%) > 2.5 kg Zn, every year (3.58%).

Discussion:

Application of zinc sulphate at 20 kg ha⁻¹ increased the productive tillers, panicle length and number of grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight and number of effective tillers per plant, fertile spikelet per panicle, panicle lengths and root weight (Gill and Hardeep, 1978; Uddinet *al.*, 1981; Sriramachandrasekharan and Mathan, 1988). According to Abid *et al.* (2001) Zn and other micro nutrients play important role on yield contributing parameters of rice and grain yield increased significantly with the application of Zn alone or in various combinations with other micronutrients. However, more increase in paddy yield and yield contributing growth parameters was noted in treatment comprising 10 mg kg⁻¹ Zn along with 5 mg kg⁻¹ Mn and a basal dose of N, P and K fertilizers. While, Saravanan and Ramanathan (1986) reported that 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ was the optimum rate for rice grown on Cauvery delta clay loam soils. Fageria *et al.* (2015) observed increase in rice grain and straw yield by the application of Zinc and the maximum yields were achieved at 5 and 20 mg Zn kg⁻¹ of soil, respectively. In another pot experiment with graded doses of Zn under continuous submergence (Muthukumararaja and Sriramachandrasekharan, 2012), it was found that Zinc deficiency was corrected by application of suitable zinc fertilizer and the results also revealed that rice responded significantly to graded doses of zinc applied. The highest grain (37.53 g pot⁻¹) and straw yield (48.54 g pot⁻¹) was noticed at 5 mg Zn kg⁻¹ which was about 100 % and 86% greater than control (no zinc) respectively. Zn fertilization significantly increased total dry weight and grain yield under alternate wetting and drying regimes (Wang *et al.*, 2014), consistent with the previous studies that Zn application could significantly increase plant growth and grain yield in both low Zn status and high Zn status (Wissuwa *et al.*, 2008). Kumar *et al.* (1998) studied the effect of Zn application on yield attributing characters and yield of rice. Application of 25.0 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ in transplanted field or spraying standing crop with 0.5 per cent ZnSO₄ solution three weeks after transplanting or dipping seedling roots in 2 per cent ZnO suspension were equally effective in correcting zinc deficiency and also significantly affected the different characteristics. Katyal and Gangwar (2000) conducted an experiment to study the effect of micronutrients on rice productivity and reported that application of ZnSO₄ as basal @ 10 kg ha⁻¹ during *kharif* season in alternate years with recommended dose of NPK gave yield at par with application in every year. Zn use efficiency by upland rice genotypes was evaluated by (Fageria and Baliger, 1997) with ten upland rice genotypes grown on an Oxisol (Typic Hapludox). On average, 13% of the applied Zn was

recovered by upland rice genotypes. Genotypes with high Zn recovery efficiency could be used in breeding of Zn efficient upland rice cultivars. Zinc concentration and uptake in shoot as well as Zn uptake in grain had significant quadratic increases as Zn concentration increased in the soil solution (Fageria *et al.*, 2015). Zinc concentration as well as uptake was greater in the shoot as compared with concentration and uptake in the grain. Zinc-use efficiencies significantly decreased with increasing Zn rates in the soil except agro-physiological efficiency, which had significant quadratic increases with increasing Zn rates. On average, about 6% of the applied Zn was recovered by the lowland rice plants. The agronomic, physiological and agro-physiological apparent recovery and utilization efficiencies were highest at lower level of zinc application and decreased with Zn doses.

Conclusion:

It is found that most of the growth and yield attributing characteristics of rice were highest in 7.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ applied plot at alternate year applied plot, those were similar to 5.0 and 10.0 kg Zn ha⁻¹ at alternate year and 7.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ at every year applied plot. The grain and straw yield data clearly indicated that application of zinc significantly increased the both yields. On the basis of above findings it is concluded that Zn applied at the rate of 7.5 kg ha⁻¹ initially may be considered as optimum for the rice crop in the fourth year under rice-wheat crop rotation in increasing rice growth parameters and grain yield of rice in upland calcareous soil.

References:

- Abid, M., Ahmad, N., Jahangir, M. and Ahmad, I. (2001). Effect of zinc, iron and manganese on growth and yield of rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.). *Pakistan Journal of the Agricultural Science*. **101**:39(3).
- Alloway, B.J. (2009). Soil factors associated with zinc deficiency in crops and humans. *Environ. Geochem. Health*. **31**: 537–548.
- Brennan, R.F. (2001): Residual value of zinc fertilizer for production of wheat. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*. **41**: 541–547.
- Dobermann, A. and Fairhurst, T. (2000). Rice: Nutrient disorders and nutrient management: International Rice Research Institute.
- Fageria, N.K., and Baligar, V.C. (1997). Growth components and zinc recovery efficiency of upland rice genotypes. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. **32**(14): 171-189.

Comment [SON6]: Strictly follow the authors guidelines

186 Fageria, N.K., Dos Santos, B. and Cobucci, T. (2015). Zinc nutrition of lowland rice.
187 *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*.**42**(14): 1719-1727

188 Gill, R.S. and Hardeep, S. (1978). Effect of zinc sulphate on the grain yield performance of tall
189 and dwarf varieties of rice.*Indian Journal of Agronomy*.**23**: 375-376.

190 Graham, R.D., Ascher, J.S. and Hynes, H.C. (1992).Selecting zinc efficient genotypes for soils
191 of low zinc status.*Plant Soil*.**146**: 241-250.

192 Jalali, M. and Khanlari, Z.V. (2008).Effect of aging process on the fractionation of heavy metals
193 in some calcareous soils of Iran.*Geoderma*.**143**: 26-40.

194 Katyal, V. and Gangwar, B. (2000).Effect of frequency and levels of sulphur and zinc
195 application on crop productivity of rice-rice system in east coast areas.*Journal of the Indian*
196 *Society of Coastal Agricultural Research*.**18**(1): 12-15.

197 Kumar, B., Singh, S.B. and Singh, V.P. (1998).Effect of different methods of zinc application on
198 yield attributes and yield of rice. *Journal of Soils and Crops*.**8**(2): 112-115.

199 Lu, A.X., Zhang, S.Z. and Shan, S.Q. (2005).Time effect on the fractionation of heavy metals in
200 soils.*Geoderma*.**125**: 225–234.

201 Muthukumararaja, T.M. and Sriramachandrasekharan, M.V. (2012). Effect of zinc on yield, zinc
202 nutrition and zinc use efficiency of lowland rice, *Journal of Agricultural Technology*. **8**(2):
203 551-561.

204 Sakal, R., Singh, A.P., Singh, B.P., Sinha, R.B., Jha, S.N. and Singh, S.P. (1985). Distribution of
205 available micronutrient cations in calcareous soils as related to certain soil properties.
206 *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*.**33**: 672-675.

207 Sakal, R., Singh, B.P. and Singh, A.P. (1979).Determination of critical limit of zinc in soil and
208 plant for predicting response of rice to zinc application in calcareous soil.*Plant and Soil***66**:
209 129-132.

210 Saravanan, A. and Ramanathan, K.M. (1986).Response of lowland rice to
211 zinc fertilizer.*International Rice Research Newsletter*.**11**(2): 31.

212 Sillanpaa, M. (1982). Micronutrient Assessment at Country Level: An International Study. FAO
213 Soils Bulletin No. 63, Rome.

214 Sriramachandrasekharan, M.V. and Mathan, K.K. (1988.) Influence of Zinc sources of the yield
215 components, dry matter production and yield of rice (Var. IR-60). *Madras Agricultural*
216 *Journal*.**75**(5-6): 200-203.

217 Tisdale, S. L., Nelson, W. L. and Beaton, J. L. (1993). *Soil fertility and fertilizers*. New Jersey
218 USA: Pearson Education.

219 Uddin, M.J., Bhuiya, Z.H., Hoque, M.S. and Rahuman, L. (1981). Effects of rates and methods
220 of zinc application on rice. *Madras Agricultural Journal*. **68**(4): 211-216.

221 Wang, Y.Y., Wei, Y.Y., Dong, L.X., Lu, L.L., Feng, Y., Zhang, J., Pan, F.S. and Yang, X.E.
222 (2014). Improved yield and Zn accumulation for rice grain by Zn fertilization and optimized
223 water management. *Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE B (Biomedicine &*
224 *Biotechnology)* ISSN. 1673-1581.

225 Wei, X. R., Hao, M.D. and Zhang, C. X. (2005). Zinc fractions and availability in the soil of the
226 Loess Plateau after long-term continuous application of Zinc fertilizer. *Sci. Agric.*
227 *Sin.* **38**:1386–1393.

228 Welch, R. M. (1993). Zinc concentrations and forms in plants for humans and animals. In *Zinc*
229 *in soils and plants*. 183–195.

230 Wissuwa, M., Ismail, A. and Graham, R. (2008). Rice grain zinc concentrations as affected by
231 genotype, native soil-zinc availability, and zinc fertilization. *Plant Soil*. **306**(1-2): 37-48.

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242
243
244
245

Table 1: Rice growth and yield as influenced by application of different doses and modes of Zn

Treatment	No. of tillers m ⁻²	No. of productive tillers m ⁻²	Root weight (g m ⁻²)	Length of Panicle (cm)	No. of filled grain panicle ⁻¹	No. of Unfilled grain panicle ⁻¹	Test weight (g)	Grain Yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (q ha ⁻¹)
T ₁ : (2.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ at first year)	320	259	169.57	23.67	77	35	19.12	31.70	42.87
T ₂ : (5.0 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ at first year)	324	260	174.33	24.03	82	33	19.68	31.77	43.58
T ₃ : (7.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ at first year)	321	261	173.33	24.73	83	34	20.12	38.40	44.04
T ₄ : (10.0 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ at first year)	322	258	169.00	24.40	83	38	20.18	37.93	50.28
T ₅ : (2.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ at alternate year)	319	258	186.67	24.63	82	32	19.49	34.00	50.36
T ₆ : (5.0 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ at alternate year)	323	262	192.00	25.50	82	34	19.59	36.73	50.33
T ₇ : (7.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ at alternate year)	330	268	195.33	24.33	89	34	20.30	39.73	52.30
T ₈ : (10.0 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ at alternate year)	324	262	197.33	24.43	86	35	20.69	40.03	52.54
T ₉ : (2.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ at every year)	320	259	205.00	23.90	87	34	19.87	36.77	52.24
T ₁₀ : (5.0 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ at every year)	329	266	214.33	24.53	88	39	19.51	40.20	52.41
T ₁₁ : (7.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ at every year)	322	261	219.33	24.87	81	36	21.95	37.72	50.83
T ₁₂ : (10.0 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ at every year)	316	256	202.33	24.97	83	37	19.73	38.07	49.54
T ₁₃ : (Control)	312	253	168.67	23.30	72	33	19.09	30.80	38.53
SEm±	2.78	2.74	4.81	0.66	3.16	1.63	0.52	1.29	2.62
CD (5%)	8.11	7.99	14.04	NS	9.22	4.77	1.53	3.76	7.64

246
247
248

Fig. 1 Agronomic efficiency (kg grain/kg Zn) of the different Zn treatments in rice during fourth year under rice-wheat cropping system




Fig. 2 Apparent Zn recovery efficiency (%) of the different Zn treatments in rice during fourth year under rice wheat cropping system

