



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_49560
Title of the Manuscript:	Market quality of late winter/early spring peony after controlled dormancy: dummy regression modelling
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The Abstract has 95% similarity with the one in the link: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3027739</p> <p>Just changing some words ...</p> <p>The same happen with the Aims of the study: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/248477767_Temperature_requirements_for_floral_development_of_herbaceous_peony_cv_'Sarah_Bernhardt_'</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Evanisa Fátima RQ Melo
Department, University & Country	The University of Passo Fundo, Brazil