



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_45094
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ANTI-TUBERCULOSIS DRUGS ON PATIENTS WITH MULTI-DRUG RESISTANCE TUBERCULOSIS IN MAINLAND HOSPITAL YABA, LAGOS ,Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The <b>ABSTRACT</b> is not presented in the style of the journal: guidelines to authors is found on <a href="http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/19/authors-instruction">http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/19/authors-instruction</a></p> <p>References presented in the text are to be indicated by brackets, [2], etc. (see above site for authors).</p> <p>Line 61 has reference 8 that is a superscript, which is not the style of the journal. For REFERENCES, the journal title should be followed by a period . in all references.</p> <p>The <b>CONCLUSION</b> should be indicated by secondary major title, ie <b>CONCLUSION</b></p> <p>Authors should review the text for misspelled words.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>This paper is a study on the proliferation of MDR-TB affected by social conditions such as poverty, education, alcohol, and tobacco use, etc.</p> <p>This is an interesting study and could provide very useful information to clinicians contended with MDR-TB incidence in complex social strata.</p> <p>The authors have presented many Tables, but the Tables are appropriately described and placed in the RESULTS section.</p> <p>The information presents relevant social status information that could be very important in various scenarios in which clinicians may find themselves operating.</p> <p>The paper can be ACCEPTED after the authors correct issues listed above.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ron Bartzatt</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Nebraska, USA</b>