



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_49620
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Nutritional knowledge and practice among Patients with non-communicable diseases attending Mbale Regional Referral Hospital in Eastern Uganda: A cross sectional study</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Figure 1 is not labeled properly within the figure reflecting the result: 'Hypertension and diabetes mellitus were the most common NCDs among patients attending the clinic (n=105, 40.4%) and (n=126, 48.4%) respectively'.</b></li> <li>• <b>Similarly figure 2 also is not labeled properly within the figure reflecting the result: 'Proportion of NCD patients that received nutrition information from their health provider at the last clinic visit (N=156) and only fifty sixty patients (36%) had received nutrition information from the health provider at the last clinic visit'.</b></li> <li>• <b>Section '3.1.2 Results from the In-depth interview for the health workers' is not mentioned as a part of the study either in the title or in the aims and methodology. The projected results are also not supported by collected data.</b></li> <li>• <b>Source of the nutrition knowledge for the NCD patients is also not mentioned in the study. If the source of the nutrition knowledge for the NCD patients is partly \ wholly from the health workers, data supporting this fact has to be included in the results and appropriate discussion to follow.</b></li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Key words must be 4-8 in number in MeSH terms other than those found in title in alphabetical order.</b></li> <li>• <b>Full form of each abbreviation should be given in parentheses at first use in the text.</b></li> <li>• <b>References are not up to date.</b></li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Good study provided all the corrections mentioned in '<u>Compulsory REVISION</u> comments' and '<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments' are carried out. Otherwise it is an incomplete study.</b></li> </ul>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>N.S. kannan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sri Manakula Vinayagar Medical College Hospital, Pondicherry University, India,</b>