



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_49669
Title of the Manuscript:	APPLICATION OF A COMMUNITY DIAGNOSIS SURVEY APPROACH TO THE ASSESSMENT OF ADOLESCENT HEALTH AT KORLE WORKO, ACCRA, GHANA
Type of the Article	Survey

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Regarding Instruments “ tools” of the study:</b> The researchers did not use any type of <b>validity, reliability</b> and <b>pilot study</b> before data collection process to test those tools.</p> <p><b>Regarding Sampling:</b> They did not mention on what base they determine the sampling size : they should mention the sample size calculation Techniques before the study will be done</p> <p><b>In References:</b> The numbers of references inside the text should be mentioned on the reference list in the back list Also they end with number 30 reference inside the text which may be not equal that number in the reference list???</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>In the abstract:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The research write “the necessary interventions for addresses these health and social problems”. But I did not found any Intervention inside the manuscript in the methodology or in the results.</li> <li><b>The abstract should be itemized,</b></li> <li><b>also the conclusion was not written which is the main item to be fulfilled</b></li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p><b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b> <b>were presented together as one part</b> But in most of researches it should be separated as a separate parts</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Magda Moawad Mohamed Mohsen</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Menoufia University, Egypt</b>