



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_50081
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS ASSOCIATED TO THE NON-ADHERENCE TO VACCINATION APPOINTMENTS IN THE NGAMBE HEALTH DISTRICT, LITTORAL REGION, CAMEROON: A CASE CONTROL STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) What is the sampling technique employed in selecting the cases and controls? 2) What is the sample size estimation of cases and controls? 3) Did you select the cases and controls from the seven Integrated Health Centres? 4) The details of the questionnaire was not mentioned in the methods section. 5) Mention the statement about how you handled qualitative and quantitative data in the data analysis section 6) What method was used to compare cases and controls? For example have you employed Matching technique? 7) Conclusion should reflect the aim of the study. So, please mention the factors contributing to non-adherence. 8) The discussion section was short. You can include a greater number of previous literatures and can make increase the discussion aspect. 9) In Table 2, please check the AOR and 95% CI of 5km and less row of Distance of health facility. 10) Statistically significant p-values can be BOLD faced in both Table 1 and Table 2 11) The footnotes for AOR in the table 2 was not mentioned 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vinodkumar Mugada
Department, University & Country	Vignan Institute Of Pharmaceutical Technology, India