

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_50144
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF MULTIDRUG RESISTANT TUBERCULOSIS IN CHILDREN IN PORT HARCOURT NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	 There is a high prevalence of MDR-TB in Port Harcourt Nigeria. When we consider that this is a referral center in the state and that not all cases that are referred would eventually get there. One might be tempted to say that the true picture may be higher than what is reported. This paper is written well and logically organized. More examples are provided to show the less conservative results than others. However, the following points should be further addressed in the revision before I recommend the paper for publication. 1) It seems that the technique of this paper is well-known. The authors must clearly show the difference and improvements in comparison with the existing results in the view of technique analysis. 2) The motivation on why to propose such a framework and strategy in real-world applications should be clearly emphasized. It would be much better if some guideline remark words on practical applications should be given. 	
Optional/General comments		

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed w. that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grienggrai Rajchakit
Department, University & Country	Maejo University, Thailand

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her