### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_49868
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT ON SOME PROXIMATE, CHEMICAL AND FUNCTIONAL CHARACTERISTICS DURING THE TRANSFORMATION OF CHHATTISGARH LOCAL PADDY VARIETY TO FLAKED RICE (POHA)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-Do no use the word 'some' as part of the title. Note that there is no 'some proximate', therefore, complete the proximate composition in Table 1 by including carbohydrate content and get values for it.  -Your Tables did not show any Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) results that generates the superscripts a, b, c, etc. So why did say significant (p<0.05) different?  -Introduction is not well arranged and there are a lot of repetitions and unnecessary information. Please rearrange properly and delete irrelevant words.  -Where is the sample procurement and preparation?  -Where is statistical analysis? These should come before analyses.  -Present your analyses using reported speech i.e. past tenses.  - Take note of the abbreviations i.e. hours = h, minutes – min, gram = g etc.  - Summarise your crude fibre determination and please use reported tenses.  -Complete the results and discussion for functional properties: starch, amylose, amylopectin and swelling power.	
Minor REVISION comments	Check your manuscript for other minor corrections.	
Optional/General comments	The concept of the study is good but the write up is not encouraging. A lot of works need to be done to make the paper a good one.	

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Florence A. Bello
Department, University & Country	University of Uyo, Nigeria

Approved by: CEO Created by: EA Checked by: ME Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)