



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_43532
Title of the Manuscript:	In-Silico Structural Annotation of Hypothetical Protein Zm00014a_031618 (Zea mays)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Good research and bioinformatics analysis revealing In-Silico Structural Annotation of Hypothetical Protein Zm00014a_031618 (Zea mays). The study highlights the use of computer algorithms and annotation in unveiling the structure properties of genes, which makes understanding and interpretation of genetic/biological data easier and appreciable.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Some very few corrections have been indicated using comment icons in the manuscript. 2. Author(s) should effect corrections appropriately and accordingly 3. The gene bank/accession number of the retrieved amino acid sequence should be stated 4. The E-value of the protein should be stated 5. The sequence or query length should be stated 6. The references should be changed to small case letters except where capital letters are required 7. The journal style and format for references should be adopted for this manuscript 8. The names of all journals cited in this manuscript should be written in full and not abbreviated to help cross referencing and citation counts 	
Optional/General comments	Good presentation	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Godwin Michael Ubi
Department, University & Country	University of Calabar, Nigeria