



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Applied Life Sciences International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_47391
Title of the Manuscript:	Thermoregulation and Metabolic Responses to Acute Haemorrhage in Adult Nubian Goats
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>In general the manuscript is good, aims and objectives are clear. However, methodology are not clear and concise enough, please improve. Data should be presented either as charts alone or tables alone. Presenting same data as chart and table is repetition.</p> <p>The following observations have been made also see reviewed manuscript in MS Word with reviews highlight.</p> <p>Authors should include their findings in the abstract.</p> <p>Issues to be addressed includes the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. How was the volume of blood withdrawn determined? Please clearly state methodology for determining volume of blood to withdraw and rate of withdrawal.</li> <li>2. In determining the HR where there a series of measurement taken? What was the time interval between each measurements? This is not clear in the manuscript.</li> <li>3. What criteria was used to determine the onset of hemorrhagic shock?</li> <li>4. Figures should be followed serially in report starting from fig 1, fig 2 etc</li> <li>5. Please check spelling and grammar in the entire manuscript.</li> <li>6. There is no conclusion. Please state clearly author(s) conclusion.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Edwin Aihanuwa Uwagie-Ero</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Benin, Nigeria</b>