



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_48641
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF FERMENTATION ON THE NUTRITIONAL COMPOSITION OF BANANA AND GROUNDNUT FLOUR BLENDS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract, conclusive remark is abrupt. Need to be revised. Why statistical tools were not used in data analysis? Write the fermentation of sources based on the substrate nutrient content.</p> <p>Actually in sample C: only consists of groundnut powder has high level of proteins, in that case, how it was fermented at first within 24hrs and high level of acid produced? Justify.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Saravana Kumara
Department, University & Country	Rathnavel Subramaniam College of Arts and Science, India