

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_49762
Title of the Manuscript:	FIXED POINTS OF CONTRACTIVE TYPE MAPS IN CMS OVER BANACH ALGEBRA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agree highlight that part in the many his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 MAJOR REVISION REQUIRED: I think that the general idea of this work is interesting, however the paper contains a lot of typographical misprints. 1. In the abstract, Our goal of, must be replaced by Our goal in 2. In key words, expansive mapping must be replaced 3. In preliminaries (page 2), in equality 3 and inequality 4, typographical misprints must be corrected 4. In page 3, in the first formula (line 1) correct the typographical misprint 5. The symbol of the Banach algebra must be unified through all the paper. 6. Page 3, line 13, and u does not equal v (not u equals v) 7. In Definition 2.4, in the first claim 1 think ≤ not 8. In page 4, Lemma 2.6, correct the typographical misprint in the mathematical expression, the statement must be written carefully. 9. In page 5, In pProposition 2.16, in the claim 3, replace n_0 by n_1. 10. In Lemma 2.17, your Banach algebra is real, why you take w complex. 11. Before Lemma 2.20, the authors must define the notion of weakly compatible self-maps. 12. In page 8, line -3, the sentence starting by To startwith(), must be written before. 14. Page 11, line 6, a mathematical expression is repeated, correct the misprint. 15. Page 11, line -2, the sentence it followshas not sense here. 16. In corollaries 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4, the authors must precise for the spectral radius h or k. 17. What is the interest of the word underlying in these corollaries. 18. It hink that the claims from 4 to 9 in Remark 3.6 must be deleted. 19. In Example 3.7, it is indicated Theorem 2.9, three is no Theorem 2.9 in the paper (may be Theorem 3.1) 20. All calculus in this Example 3.7 must be checked and written correctly with details, also what is the notion of b-metric, it's not indicated in this paper. The important fact is to prove by this example that this example is a real generalization of	

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	The author(s) must unify the symbol of the Banach algebra through this paper.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed w that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dehici Abdelkader
Department, University & Country	University of Souk, Algeria

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her