



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_49312
Title of the Manuscript:	IMMUNE DISORDERS OF DENTOALVEOLAR ANOMALIES IN SCHOOLCHILDREN
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>DAA at the entry section of the article should include a text that explains what these diseases may be, but the author emphasizes in materials and methods that the patients are evaluated only according to Angle.</p> <p>I am interested in the index of periodontal bacterial plaque, is it important because the bacteria in the mouth stimulate the production of different ILs, as periodontal diseases are the most common infections of oral cavity, even in the low index of bacterial plaques.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In the 5th line, the beginning of the growing abstract in IL-1 level "probably the need to adjust the way of writing English the increased level of IL-1 ... in ..."</p> <p>The abstract must live long in the content. if the abstract type of respect, in a block of text, should be extended further.</p> <p>Line 14 the main stomatology diseases probably need ... dental diseases ...</p> <p>Line 37 ... all patientes with DAA were passed ... should be terminated in the English language ...</p> <p>Line 30, the goal of the present research must ... the aim of ... sentence line 53-56, was determined ... is misleading a sentence formation.</p> <p>Line 60 it was used P ... letter p is not front. in the rows 81, 90, 92, 95 ... there are many words we, us, ... which have to be removed and adjusted the meaning of the sentence ...</p> <p>Line 126 ... was a nest task should be rewritten as an expression ...</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ilma Robo</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Albanian University, Tirana 1001, Albania</i>