



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_49413
Title of the Manuscript:	Preoperative Diagnosis of Upper Gastrointestinal Leiomyoma by Endoscopic Ultrasound-Guided Fine Needle Aspiration
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No reference citation from 1 to 6, directly the authors have cited reference [7-9] but there is no mention of references 1 to 6 - Few grammatical and tense errors need to be addressed appropriately <p>Objective:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please write the objective as the last paragraph of introduction <p>Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The references have been placed in a haphazard manner and need to be placed in the order in which they appear in the text. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Study design: 'Prospective' observational Methodology: diagnosis were undergone underwent surgery Methodology: Please review language and grammar</p> <p>Methodology: Line 53 - patients with suspected (suspicion) of subepithelial gastrointestinal neoplasm Line 89 – OLYMPUS</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Well written paper with predominant lacunae at,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Reference citation 2) Grammatical accuracy 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mohammed Ismail Khan</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>ESIC Medical College, India</i>