



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JAMMR_49413</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Preoperative Diagnosis of Upper Gastrointestinal Leiomyoma by Endoscopic Ultrasound-Guided Fine Needle Aspiration</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>I have some advices.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Delete first sentence. You did not cite reference and also this statement makes readers confused because you state thereafter that this tumor is common; discovery of this common tumor in 1983 is very peculiar. To avoid complexity, delete this first sentence.</li> <li>2. State where this study was performed. Institute name and country.</li> <li>3. Abstract is missing.</li> <li>4. Original paper should have "something new". You stated that this procedure has been mainly employed in pancreatic tumors. Then, is this the "first" application for GI tumor/fibromyoma? I believe that this was not the first. You stated this not so clearly. Please describe "new point" of this study. It should be stated very clearly in first, second, third,, manner. If there are no new information and only "reconfirmation" of the previous/accumulating data, then definitely state this. You may have written something regarding this; however, this is "embedded" in the lengthy Discussion. Make things very clear and crystal.</li> </ol> <p>If references include non-English literature, please make them hold ONLY IF they are indispensable. Or at least indicate, "Chinese with English abstract". Many readers do not understand Chinese.</p> |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |   |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |   |  |

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b><i>Shigeki Matsubara</i></b>               |
| Department, University & Country | <b><i>Jichi Medical University, Japan</i></b> |