



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_49413
Title of the Manuscript:	Preoperative Diagnosis of Upper Gastrointestinal Leiomyoma by Endoscopic Ultrasound-Guided Fine Needle Aspiration
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Thank you for your response. The manuscript has become better. The present data may be of value for the diagnosis and treatment of this condition. I have minor suggestions.</p> <p>You state: "Our study is aimed to determine the diagnostic value of the Endoscopic Ultraosonography-guided Fine Needle Aspiration biopsy (EUS-FNA) with using immunohistochemical analysis for preoperative diagnosis of GI tract leiomyomas." This is gramatically incorrect. "Our study aimed" may be better. We never say "our study is (was) aimed": this is a wrong usage of passive voice.</p> <p>Rephrase! "We here attempted to determine the diagnostic value of the Endoscopic Ultraosonography-guided Fine Needle Aspiration biopsy (EUS-FNA) with using immunohistochemical analysis for preoperative diagnosis of GI tract leiomyomas.</p> <p>"We" and "attempted (past tense!)".</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Shigeki Matsubara</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Jichi Medical University, Japan</i>