SCIENCEDOMAIN international





SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	
	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_49836
Title of the Manuscript:	The effects of oral contraceptives on nutrient status, with especial consideration to folate
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
Compulsory REVISION comments		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION Comments	The review article is well written, it discusses an important point about commonly used oral contraceptives. The Introduction is well-written manuscript is free of this bias and clearly explains why the authors went to all the trouble of writing manuscript. The purpose of the Introduction provide the rationale for the study and explain the study's goals.	
	The Results section is not well organized.; the author should consider organizing it.	
	The discussion discusses the findings against the background of previous work and explain discrepancies with previously published reports.	
Minor REVISION comments	Vitamin B1; line 4 It was found instead of it found	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nirvana samy
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt

Approved by: CEO Created by: EA Checked by: ME Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)