SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_49836
Title of the Manuscript:	The effects of oral contraceptives on nutrient status, with especial consideration to folate
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 This is a review and title should express this (this is a review), otherwise readers cannot understand that this is a review article. Introduction: Please touch very shortly why OC affects nutrition. General statement is OK! Sorry if I am absent-minded but I believe that there are no data that showed "NTD occurred more frequently from women having taken (or just after) OC than those without OC". Therefore, whether FA replacement to OC users (many of whom do not become pregnant) actually reduce NTD, and if so, how many fraction of women (or NTD babies) may have been merited. State this somewhere. Your discussion and conclusion is based on speculation. Minor comments: reference: pages are described in a style like both122-124 and 122-4, and with/without punctuation. Please be consistent. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shigeki Matsubara
Department, University & Country	Jichi Medical University, Japan

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)