



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_49844
Title of the Manuscript:	“Black ink” Sonographic Pattern As A Predictor for Invasive Papillary Thyroid Microcarcinoma: A Case Report
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Firstly, I wish to make minor comments. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Abstract; 2nd last line: FNAC? Last line: LNM should be deleted. 2) Introduction; line 13: PTMC should be defined. If you defined it in Abstract, you must define abbreviations in their first apparition within the text. 2. You had better define (explain) “black ink”. You cite references 14 and 15 and also made some explanation for it (Case, right column, line 10-13). Since not all readers are specialist of this issue, black ink should be explained earlier (for example, in Introduction). Also, you had better explain how this sign is expressed in THIS case in figure 1 legends. 3. I suggest to change the subtitle to “: Some consideration based on a case”. The reason is as follows. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) This paper is too long as a case report. Many journals make “word limit” for case report to 600, 800, 1000, and the maximum is usually 1500. 2) This paper is a “summary and explanation of the disease Based on a case”. We sometimes use this style for student/resident education. If you wish to hold the present style, “case report” sounds very peculiar because you state too much. 4. Conclusion section usually consists of at most THREE sentences. No need to repeat the things that you have already written. Shorten the Conclusion at least by 1/2. 5. References: Some has issue number and others do not. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shigeki Matsubara
Department, University & Country	Jichi Medical University, Japan