



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_49930
Title of the Manuscript:	Association of Malaria Parasite with ABO/Rhesus Blood Group Among Out-Patients of Township Clinic Gwagwalada Abuja, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is quite interesting and seems scientifically robust. The authors should consider the following comments and suggestions:</p> <p>Title: Consider reframing manuscript title to suit objectives and results of the study.</p> <p>Abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The aim in the abstract and at the end of the introduction should correlate with the manuscript title. 2. Manuscript needs tweaking to improve linguistic quality. 3. Methodology and not study design. Recast the methodology. 4. Results very cumbersome. Reframe/remove all unnecessary statement. 5. Rewrite recommendations here. 6. Check for typographical errors. <p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check for spelling errors and correct throughout the manuscript. 2. Authors should present the problem and objectives more clearly. <p>Materials and Methods</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Study area should be cited. Describe study area briefly. 2. How did you recruit your study participants? 3. Provide ethical clearance reference number. 4. Provide heading for Inclusion and Exclusion criteria. 5. State how samples were processed before analysis. 6. Check for typographical and grammatical errors. <p>Results</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Link results to method(s) used. 2. Reduce unnecessary statements in this section. 3. Why breaking the results description. I will recommend you summarize them in few paragraphs. 4. Tables should contain Chi square and P values for each risk factor/demographic information studied. 5. Tables heading needs modification as it relate to the manuscript title. <p>Discussion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Justify by focusing on significant findings especially on associated factors. 2. Rewrite your Conclusion/Recommendation. 3. State Limitations of the study and recommend further study. 4. References are badly arranged. Please follow Journal's format. Make sure recent references are used in this study (2016-2019). 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Victor B. Oti
Department, University & Country	Nasarawa State University, Nigeria