



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50320
Title of the Manuscript:	Contraceptive Choices and Acceptability among New Clients attending the Family Planning Unit of Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Methodology</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Author/s have not shown study design, why choose state university teaching hospital when there other public and private health facilities that could give a broad picture on the use of modern contraceptives - No where in this section have show how the sample size was calculated - Eligibility and non edibility of the study is not shown to be included in the study or excluded in the study - Nowhere is show how the data was collected and by whom <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The results should be by objective. The authors have lamped all in one basket - From this study all were using conventional contraceptives. It is very unlikely whereas the 15% who are using other methods <p>Discussion</p> <p>-Weak- No discussion is shown.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - For example Why more women use implant when some years back oral contraceptive was ranking first - It is being acknowledged that all conventional contraceptives have side effects. However in this study no reflections of side effect have been shown as a factors for not using the contraceptives. <p>-The reader of this work would like to know why low use of contraceptives in Nigeria when comparing with the finding in this study which show all were using conventional contraceptives</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - no detail being discussed about the 15% who were using other methods of contraceptives in the country. This rate is high needs an explanation. They might be using contraceptives that health friendly. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The author/s have not shown prevalence of users of contraceptives world wide, in developing countries and then Africa to show to the reader to grasp how big the problem is - Backed with limited literature on the status of the problem in the country when compared with other countries - Pro and cons on the use of conventional contraceptives not discussed. Reader is interested to know why low use of contraceptives in developing countries then developed countries 	
Optional/General comments	<p>The author/s are touching sensitive area but not well discussed. The pro and cons of the methods used by the participants today and in the future not discussed. We are witnessing increasing health problems related to reproductive health.. some of them might be a result of using conventional contraceptives.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Edmund J. Kayomnbo
Department, University & Country	Institute of Traditional Medicine, Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Tanzania