SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50347
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of alcohol on the developing brain: the adolescent at risk
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Interesting theme! Some comments were made in order to contribute to the authors:	
	1 - The abstract may contain some more conclusions from the paper.	
	2- Keywords: Immediately after the abstract, about 4-8 keywords should be given. In the manuscript there are only 4 keywords, I suggest including "alcohol dependence".	
	3 - The manuscript respects the limit of words (3.327). The manuscript respects the limit of words. However, one can use more delays in other studies, references with great impact on the subject of alcohol: Drug and Alcohol Dependence, Brazilian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Drug safety, Journal of Psychoactive Drugs, Alcohol (Fayetteville, Ny), Alcoholism: Clinical And Experimental Research.	
	4- Introduction: Write more (briefly) about the different types of drugs: ecstasy, solvents, marijuana, alcohol, LSD, crack, among others The problem (introduction) is significant and concisely stated. However, I suggest the use of more references, some cited by the author are relevant, but there are more recent references (with other populations), which he could use to add a greater impact to his study.	
	5- The topic "Adolescent brain evolution" was very good, maybe include other references of greater impact help in the discussion of this topic.	
	6- Conclusion: A clear and unique conclusion of the authors is needed. In addition, in both all topics and in the own Conclusion, the authors need to clarify their positions.	
Minor REVISION comments	Very interesting article for alcohol dependence. Studies about alcohol dependence are important and can contribute to the best knowledge in this public health problem The manuscript contains new and significant information to justify publication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diego Zapelini do Nascimento
Department, University & Country	University of Southern Santa Catarina, Brazil

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)