



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_44550
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Antiplasmodial and Toxicity Activities of Crude Extracts and Compounds from <i>Oncoba spinosa</i> (Flacourtiaceae)
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Assessment of Antiplasmodial and Toxicity Activities of Crude Extracts and Compounds from <i>Oncoba spinosa</i></p> <p>The manuscript is well written and the contents fit with the scope of the journal.</p> <p>Minor comments: Title: The title should be revised as "Assessment of Antiplasmodial Activity and Toxicity of Crude Extracts and Isolated Compounds from <i>Oncoba spinosa</i>" Abstract: The statistical part should be revised, i.e., the statement "Extracts and compounds also exerted a significant decrease in antiplasmodial activity compared to the standard controls" Introduction: Line 26: <i>O. spinosa</i> should be spelt out as a full name. Materials and methods: Line 65: room temperature should be provided. Section 2.6 Toxicity test: Statement of ethical approval should be provided here instead of in the results section. Was histopathological examination performed? Table 5: The description of all abbreviations should be provided.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Kesara Na-Bangchang</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Thammasat University, Thailand</i>