



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_45462
Title of the Manuscript:	PROPORTIONS OF PRE-CANCEROUS CERVICAL LESIONS AND ITS ASSOCIATED FACTORS AMONG WOMEN CLIENTS IN THE AGE GROUP OF 30-49yrs IN GYNECOLOGY WARD OF DESSIE REFERRAL HOSPITAL AND FGAE, NORTH-EAST ETHIOPIA, 2016
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title:</b> Replace the word proportions by frequency.</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b> Correct objectives according to title changes. Do not use acronyms in the abstract results, therefore, all acronyms should be replaced by their true meanings, being careful with the nomenclature used.</p> <p><b>Keywords:</b> No keyword used is available in Mesh. We must always pay attention to this, because these will be the words that the authors will find the article for reading in the searches of the databases. I suggest replacing all key words with (except cervical cancer).</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> "Cervical cancer is a type of cancer that starts in cells lining the cervix. These cells do not suddenly change into cancer. Instead, the normal cells of the cervix gradually develop pre-cancerous changes that turn into cancer. "Remove this passage and redo as it is a scientific manuscript and this excerpt is written as for a textbook. I suggest introducing speaking of national and then local estimation. The title proposes to analyze the risk factors about cervical cancer, however, does not present anything already described in the literature in the introduction. It is necessary to address issues such as HPV, vaginal infections, importance of screening through cytopathology, among others. Redo the general objective according to the nomenclature changes indicated for the title and abstract.</p> <p><b>Materials and methods:</b> There is a citation of the use of a questionnaire based on and adapted from other studies. I miss the citation of these studies that were taken as the basis for constructing the questionnaire. If the questionnaire was adapted from another study it is necessary to cite the original author, otherwise it may be concluded as plagiarism. It was not clear whether gynecologists or nurses performed the colposcopic acetic acid test. I suggest more care with this statement, because according to the class councils and the resolutions in force, only the medical professional qualified in gynecology can perform macroscopic examination of the cervix with acetic acid and</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p><b>the like.</b> It is necessary to refer to the authors in which the questionnaire used in this study was based, as described in the materials and methods.</p> <p><b>Ethical Issue:</b> The manuscript was submitted to the research ethics committee, however, it used verbal consent, which is not allowed by the code of ethics. The use of humans in direct studies requires the use of a consent form where all participants must read and sign.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ruan Carlos Gomes da Silva</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centro Universitário Tabosa de Almeida, Brazil</b>