



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49395
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTIMATED MAIZE CROP YIELD BY AGROMETEROLOGICAL MODELS IN THE MUNICIPALITIES OF STATE MATO GROSSO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Estimated maize crop yield by agrometeorological models in the municipalities of state mato grosso" is an important piece of work describing the estimation of maize crop yield by agrometeorological models. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts. The literature review is thorough. Figures and tables were presented properly. I approve of it for publication after following minor revision in the manuscript as below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minimum keywords should be five, meaningful and arranged alphabetically. 2. Follow the similar reference pattern of the journal. 3. Revised the whole manuscript carefully and tried to avoid any grammar or syntax error. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Estimated maize crop yield by agrometeorological models in the municipalities of state mato grosso" is an important piece of work describing the estimation of maize crop yield by agrometeorological models. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts. The literature review is thorough. Figures and tables were presented properly. I approve of it for publication after following minor revision in the manuscript as below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minimum keywords should be five, meaningful and arranged alphabetically. 2. Follow the similar reference pattern of the journal. 3. Revised the whole manuscript carefully and tried to avoid any grammar or syntax error. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Estimated maize crop yield by agrometeorological models in the municipalities of state mato grosso" is an important piece of work describing the estimation of maize crop yield by agrometeorological models. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts. The literature review is thorough. Figures and tables were presented properly. I approve of it for publication after following minor revision in the manuscript as below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minimum keywords should be five, meaningful and arranged alphabetically. 2. Follow the similar reference pattern of the journal. 3. Revised the whole manuscript carefully and tried to avoid any grammar or syntax error. 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>RK Lal</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Central Institute for Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, India</i>