



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49543
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential of entomopathogenic fungi as biological control agent of whitefly (<i>Bemisia tabaci</i> biotype B) (Genn.) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is very close to its final version to be published. I include some suggestions for improvement	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Title</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Change to "biological control agents" because more than one fungus species was evaluated <p>Abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Check if the phrase "third-instar nymphs, with LC50 estimate of 0.012" should be "third-instar nymphs, with LC50 estimated of 0.012" . 3. The phrase However is for contrast, and in my opinion is used inappropriate in the last two sentences. I suggest changing it for the term "Additionally, JAB07 was the most virulent isolate ..." or "We suggest, future field trials are required in ...". <p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. At the beginning of the fourth paragraph is confusing the phrase "reported low control efficiency of <i>these pests</i> " because you are only talking about the white fly. <p>Material and Methods</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Complete the geographical location of the faculty "Jaboticabal, Sao Paulo, Brazil" and Campinas, Sao Paulo. 6. Throughout all the text references of dilutions are exponential numbers but does not appear in superscript. Assured the final version has the correct format. 7. In the section Virulence of the entomopathogenic fungi isolates, in the first paragraph, specify the name of the selected isolates. 8. For section Statistical analysis, the phrase "<i>The results of nymps mortality and eggs viability of B. tabaci biotype B</i> is incomplete?". <p>Results and Discussion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 9. In the Tables 1 & 2, the specie <i>Metarhizium rileyi</i> is misspelled. 10. In the title of Table 1 nymphs is misspelled. 11. The same use of However (indicating contrast) can be change in the last paragraph of this section for terms like Additionally, Furthermore, Also. <p>Conclusion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 12. Add the most prominent pathogenicity data of the study in this section. <p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 13. Number 11, 18, 25, 26: Italic letters in scientific name of specie 14. Number 27: the term orchads is misspelled. 	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Felipe Tafoya
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of Aguascalientes, Mexico