



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49671
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOSTIMULANT AND MICRONUTRIENT APPLICATIONS IN THE PRODUCTION OF <i>Acacia mangium</i> SEEDLINGS
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In the introduction it stated that 'Plant regulators or biostimulants are organic, non-nutrient compounds formed by indolebutyric acid, kinetin and gibberellic acid, which can increase plant growth and development, stimulate cell division and also increase the absorption of water and nutrients by plants, depending on their composition, concentration and proportion of substances employed' In methodology it stated that 'The commercial product Stimulate was used as the biostimulant'. Therefore indicate which regulator is more in the commercial product or name the product. The conclusion state that 'The use of micronutrients and biostimulants were favourable for the production of <i>A. mangium</i> seedlings'. Therefore the users have to know which PGR is effective.</li> <li>In results and discussion it stated that 'a higher HDR value for <i>Jatoba</i> seedlings with the biostimulant dosage of 35 mL'. The readers or the users have to know which PGR is effective.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Revisions has to be done as above comments	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jayath P. Kirthisinghe</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka</b>