



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49783
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of gamma rays on cocona (<i>Solanum sessiliflorum</i>)
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Effects of gamma rays on cocona (<i>Solanum sessiliflorum</i>)" is an important piece of work describing the effects of gamma rays on cocona. This type of work is new in this crop. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts. The literature review is OK. Tables were presented properly. I approve of it for publication after minor revision as below.</p> <p>1. The full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for example as: <i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (not <i>Borago officinalis</i>).</p> <p>2. Follow reference pattern according to journal</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Effects of gamma rays on cocona (<i>Solanum sessiliflorum</i>)" is an important piece of work describing the effects of gamma rays on cocona. This type of work is new in this crop. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts. The literature review is OK. Tables were presented properly. I approve of it for publication after minor revision as below.</p> <p>1. The full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for example as: <i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (not <i>Borago officinalis</i>).</p> <p>2. Follow reference pattern according to journal</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Effects of gamma rays on cocona (<i>Solanum sessiliflorum</i>)" is an important piece of work describing the effects of gamma rays on cocona. This type of work is new in this crop. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts. The literature review is OK. Tables were presented properly. I approve of it for publication after minor revision as below.</p> <p>1. The full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for example as: <i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (not <i>Borago officinalis</i>).</p> <p>2. Follow reference pattern according to journal</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Varaporn Veraplakorn
Department, University & Country	Ramkhamhaeng University, Thailand