



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49793
Title of the Manuscript:	Structure and use of a rocky Cerrado in northeastern Brazil: Does the ecological appearance hypothesis explain this relationship?
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

Reviewer's comment	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Contribution to existing knowledge average, appropriate formatting and structure good, readability good, soundness of methodology good, evidence support of conclusion good and adequacy of literature review average</b></p> <p>This research article This study also demonstrated that this phytophysiognomy for the state of Piauí is very important due to its utilitarian potential, being a total of 73.7% of the species found in the survey useful for the human population as a form of a subsistence economy, prevailing the lumber category. And this result not evidence that the marginal rocky Cerrados Piaui presents very different characteristics from other vegetation parameters observed in other Cerrados Brasil not very indicated by biodiversity tables</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Improve tables by changing biodiversity content	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Manuscripts are good and can be published</b>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Andam S. Ardan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Nusa Cendana, Indonesia</b>